

## Math 5026: Homework 2, Due Friday February 28

**Problem 1.** Let  $A$  be an index set. Use the Recursion Theorem to show that  $A \not\leq_m \bar{A}$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $B$  be an infinite c.e. set. Prove there is a strictly increasing computable function  $f$  such that  $\text{range}(f) \subseteq B$  and  $n < f(n)$  for all  $n$ .

A set  $A$  is called *immune* if it is infinite but doesn't contain an infinite c.e. set. Later in the course, we will find these sets quite useful.

**Problem 3.** Let  $A$  be a c.e. set such that  $\bar{A}$  is immune. Prove that  $A$  is not computable. (This proof is very short.)

**Problem 4.** Let  $M = \{x \mid \neg(\exists y < x)(\varphi_x = \varphi_y)\}$ . That is,  $M$  consists of the least index for each partial computable function. Note that  $M$  is infinite because there are infinitely many different partial computable functions. Prove that  $M$  is immune.

For the next problem, recall that  $A \oplus B = \{2n \mid n \in A\} \cup \{2n + 1 \mid n \in B\}$ . A minor modification of one of the problems from Homework 1 shows that if  $A \leq_T C$  and  $B \leq_T C$ , then  $A \oplus B \leq_T C$ . In Problem 5, you show that the related least upper bound notion for the Turing degrees is well defined.

**Problem 5.** Prove that if  $A \equiv_T D$  and  $B \equiv_T E$ , then  $A \oplus B \equiv_T D \oplus E$ . (This proof is also very short.)

The last two problems give an example of a related operation on sets which does not correspond to a well defined notion on the Turing degrees. Let  $\{A_y \mid y \in \omega\}$  be a family of sets indexed by  $\omega$ . Define

$$\bigoplus_{y \in \omega} A_y = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x \in A_y\}$$

Problem 6 shows why  $\bigoplus_{y \in \omega} A_y$  is called the *uniform upper bound of the indexed family*  $A_y$ .

**Problem 6.** Let  $C$  be a set and  $f$  be a computable function such that  $A_y = \Phi_{f(y)}^C$  for all  $y$ . That is,  $A_y \leq_T C$  for all  $y$ , and the computable function  $f$  gives the indices for these reductions uniformly. Prove that  $\bigoplus_{y \in \omega} A_y \leq_T C$ . (This proof is again very short.)

**Problem 7.** Give an example of two families of sets  $A_y, y \in \omega$ , and  $B_y, y \in \omega$ , such that  $A_y \equiv_T B_y$  for all  $y$ , but  $\bigoplus_{y \in \omega} A_y \not\equiv_T \bigoplus_{y \in \omega} B_y$ .

## Hints for Homework 2

**Problem 1.** Suppose that  $A \leq_m \bar{A}$ . Apply the Recursion Theorem to the function witnessing this reduction.

**Problem 2.** Use the fact that  $B$  contains an infinite computable set  $A$ , and you can assume without loss of generality that  $0 \notin A$ . (Since if  $0 \in A$ , then you can remove 0 from  $A$  and still have an infinite computable subset of  $B$ .)

**Problem 3.** Think about why  $\bar{A}$  cannot be c.e. and why this suffices for the proof.

**Problem 4.** You already know  $M$  is infinite, so you only need to show  $M$  doesn't contain an infinite c.e. set. Suppose that  $M$  does contain an infinite c.e. set  $B$ . Use Problem 2 and the Recursion Theorem to help you.

**Problem 6.** You need to describe an oracle computation  $\Phi^C$  that on input  $\langle x, y \rangle$  uses  $C$  to determine if  $x \in A_y$ . You can describe this computation procedure using the things you are given in the problem.

**Problem 7.** I think the simplest examples keep all the sets computable. Try letting  $A_y = \emptyset$  for all  $y$ . As long as each  $B_y$  set is computable, you will have  $A_y \equiv_T B_y$ . So, it suffices to describe a sequence of computable sets  $B_y$  such that from  $\bigoplus_{y \in \omega} B_y$ , you can compute something non-computable. Note that while each  $B_y$  has to be individually computable, you do not need to construct the sequence of sets  $B_y$  uniformly.