Math 2141 Homework 3 Solutions

Problem 1. Prove that the inequality

$$|x| - |y|| \le |x - y|$$

holds true for all real numbers x and y.

Solution: We begin by rewriting what we want to show. Using Theorem I.38 to remove the outer absolute value signs, we have

$$||x| - |y|| \le |x - y| \Leftrightarrow -|x - y| \le |x| - |y| \le |x - y|$$

Therefore, we can solve the problem by showing that $|x| - |y| \le |x - y|$ and $-|x - y| \le |x| - |y|$. Consider the first inequality

$$|x| - |y| \le |x - y| \qquad \text{(to show)}$$

This inequality is equivalent to $|x| \leq |y| + |x - y|$. So, we have turned our problem into

$$|x| \le |y| + |x - y| \qquad \text{(to show)}$$

By the Triangle Inequality, we know $|u+v| \le |u|+|v|$ for all real numbers u and v. Let u = y and v = x - y. Plugging into the Triangle Inequality gives

$$|u+v| = |y+(x-y)| = |x|$$
 and $|u|+|v| = |y|+|x-y|$

Therefore, by the Triangle Inequality, $|x| \leq |y| + |x - y|$, which is what we needed to show. Now, we consider the second inequality above:

$$-|x-y| \le |x| - |y| \qquad \text{(to show)}$$

Rearranging the text algebraically, this inequality is the same as

$$|y| \le |x| + |x - y| \qquad \text{(to show)}$$

Also, since y - x = -(x - y), we have |x - y| = |y - x|. So, we can rewrite one more time

$$|y| \le |x| + |y - x| \qquad \text{(to show)}$$

Now we are in a position to use the Triangle Inequality just as before. Let u = x and v = y - x, so

$$|u+v| = |x+(y-x)| = |y|$$
 and $|u|+|v| = |x|+|y-x|$

By the Triangle Inequality, we have $|y| \leq |x| + |y - x|$, which is exactly what we needed to show.

Problem 2. Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Prove that

$$n^{p} < \frac{(n+1)^{p+1} - n^{p+1}}{p+1} < (n+1)^{p}$$

Solution: Multiplying the inequalities that we need to prove by p + 1, we can reduce the problem to proving that

 $(p+1)n^p < (n+1)^{p+1} - n^{p+1} < (p+1)(n+1)^p$ (to show)

First, consider the middle term. The Difference of Powers Formulas is

$$a^{p+1} - b^{p+1} = (a-b)\left(a^p + a^{p-1}b + a^{p-2}b^2 + \dots + ab^{p-1} + b^p\right) = (a-b)\sum_{i=0}^p a^{p-i}b^i$$

Letting a = n + 1 and b = n, we have

$$(n+1)^{p+1} - n^{p+1} = ((n+1) - n) \sum_{i=0}^{p} (n+1)^{p-i} n^{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{p} (n+1)^{p-i} n^{i}$$

Notice that since i goes from 0 to p, there are p + 1 many terms in this sum.

Now consider the two outside terms. The term $(p+1)n^p$ is the same as adding n^p to itself p+1 many times. So, we can write $(p+1)n^p = \sum_{i=0}^p n^p$. Similarly, $(p+1)(n+1)^p = \sum_{i=0}^p (n+1)^p$.

We can now rewrite what we need to show as follows:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p} n^{p} < \sum_{i=0}^{p} (n+1)^{p-i} n^{i} < \sum_{i=0}^{p} (n+1)^{p}$$
 (to show)

There are exactly p + 1 terms in each of these sums, so we can compare them term by term. That is, we need to compare n^p , $(n+1)^{p-i}n^i$ and $(n+1)^p$ for each number *i* between 0 and *p*.

Consider n^p , $(n+1)^{p-i}n^i$ and $(n+1)^p$. We know $n^{p-i} \leq (n+1)^{p-i}$ (and this inequality is strict unless i = p). Multiplying by n^i gives $n^{p-i}n^i \leq (n+1)^{p-i}n^i$, which means

 $n^p \le (n+1)^{p-i} n^i$

(and this is strict unless i = p). On the other hand, $n^i \leq (n+1)^i$ (and this inequality is strict unless i = 0). Multiplying by $(n+1)^{p-i}$ gives $(n+1)^{p-i}n^i \leq (n+1)^{p-i}(n+1)^i$ which means

$$(n+1)^{p-i}n^i \le (n+1)^p$$

(and this is strict unless i = 0). Therefore, we have shown that for each *i*, we have

$$n^{p} \leq (n+1)^{p-i} n^{i} \leq (n+1)^{p}$$

The left inequality is strict unless i = p and the right inequality is strict unless i = 0. Since $p \ge 1$, at least one of these inequalities is always strict. Taking sums, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^p n^p < \sum_{i=0}^p (n+1)^{p-i} n^i < \sum_{i=0}^p (n+1)^p$$

which is exactly what we needed to show.

Problem 3. Prove by induction on n that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^p < \frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1} < \sum_{k=1}^n k^p$$

Solution: We proceed by induction on n. For the induction case, I will handle the two inequalities separately. You don't have to do it this way, but it might make the proof easier to understand.

Base case (n=1): When n = 1, the lefthand sum is $\sum_{k=1}^{0} k^{p}$ which by definition is equal to 0 because the lower index is strictly greater than the upper index. The middle term is $\frac{1^{p+1}}{p+1}$ which is equal to $\frac{1}{p+1}$. The righthand sum is $\sum_{k=1}^{1} k^{p}$ which is just 1. Since $0 < \frac{1}{p+1} < 1$, we have established the base case for both inequalities.

Induction case for left inequality: We first set up the induction hypothesis.

Assume: For a fixed n, $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^p < \frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1}$

Show: $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^p < \frac{(n+1)^{p+1}}{p+1}$

Separating off the last term of $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p}$ and applying the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{p}\right) + n^{p} < \frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1} + n^{p}$$

and so we know

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p} < \frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1} + n^{p}$$

Therefore, to complete the induction case, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1} + n^p \le \frac{(n+1)^{p+1}}{p+1}$$
 (to show)

Multiplying by p + 1, it suffices to show

$$n^{p+1} + (p+1)n^p \le (n+1)^{p+1}$$
 (to show)

Finally, moving the n^{p+1} to the other side, it suffices to show

$$(p+1)n^p \le (n+1)^{p+1} - n^{p+1}$$
 (to show)

However, that is exactly what we proved in Problem 2! Therefore, we are done with the induction case for the left inequality.

Induction case for right inequality: We first set up the induction hypothesis.

Assume: For a fixed n, $\frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1} < \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p}$.

Show: $\frac{(n+1)^{p+1}}{p+1} < \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^p$.

Separating off the last term of $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^p$ and applying the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^p\right) + (n+1)^p > \frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1} + (n+1)^p$$

and so we know

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^p > \frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1} + (n+1)^p$$

Therefore, to complete the induction case, it suffices to show that

$$\frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1} + (n+1)^p \ge \frac{(n+1)^{p+1}}{p+1}$$
 (to show)

Multiplying by p + 1, it suffices to show

$$n^{p+1} + (p+1)(n+1)^p \ge (n+1)^{p+1}$$
 (to show)

Finally, moving the n^{p+1} to the other side, it suffices to show

$$(p+1)(n+1)^p \ge (n+1)^{p+1} - n^{p+1}$$
 (to show)

Again, this is exactly what we proved in Problem 2. Therefore, we are done with the induction case for the right inequality as well.

Problem 4. Recall that [x] denotes the greatest integer function. For this problem, I want you to work with the function f(x) = [2x] + 1. So, for example, f(2/3) = [4/3] + 1 = 2. Sketch a graph of f(x) on the interval [0,3] and calculate

$$\int_0^3 f(x) \, dx$$

Solution: The function f(x) has constant value *n* on each open interval of the form $(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2})$. Therefore, we can break up the integral as follows:

$$\int_{0}^{3} f(x) \, dx = \int_{0}^{1/2} 1 \, dx + \int_{1/2}^{1} 2 \, dx + \int_{1}^{3/2} 3 \, dx + \int_{3/2}^{2} 4 \, dx + \int_{2}^{5/2} 5 \, dx + \int_{5/2}^{3} 6 \, dx$$

$$\int_{0}^{3} f(x) \, dx = 1 \cdot (1/2 - 0) + 2 \cdot (1 - 1/2) + 3 \cdot (3/2 - 1) + 4 \cdot (2 - 3/2) + 5 \cdot (5/2 - 2) + 6 \cdot (6 - 5/2)$$

$$\int_{0}^{3} f(x) \, dx = 1/2 + 1 + 3/2 + 2 + 5/2 + 3 = 21/2$$

(You should also draw a sketch of the function, but the calculation above shows how to find the integral without sketching the graph.)

Problem 5. Let g(x) = 2[x] - 1. Sketch a graph of g(x) on the interval [0,3] and calculate

$$\int_0^3 g(x) \, dx$$

Solution: As above, you should sketch the graph of the function. To calculate the integral:

$$\int_{0}^{3} 2[x] - 1 \, dx = 2 \int_{0}^{3} [x] \, dx - \int_{0}^{3} 1 \, dx$$

= $2 \Big(\int_{0}^{1} 0 \, dx + \int_{1}^{2} 1 \, dx + \int_{2}^{3} 2 \, dx \Big) - 1 \cdot (3 - 0)$
= $2 \Big(0 \cdot (1 - 0) + 1 \cdot (2 - 1) + 2 \cdot (3 - 2) \Big) - 3$
= $2 \Big(0 + 1 + 2 \Big) - 3 = 6 - 3 = 3$

Problem 6. Prove Theorem 1.8 (expansion or contraction of the interval of integration). That is, let s(x) be a step function on [a, b]. Prove that for any k > 0,

$$\int_{ka}^{kb} s\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \, dx = k \, \int_{a}^{b} s(x) \, dx$$

Solution: Let $P = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a partition of [a, b] such that s(x) has constant value s_i on the *i*-th open subinterval. Then $\widehat{P} = \{kx_0, kx_1, \ldots, kx_n\}$ is a partition of [ka, kb] on which the step function s(x/k) has constant value s_i on the *i*-th open subinterval. Writing the integrals in the problem out as sums using these partitions, we have

$$k \int_{a}^{b} s(x) \, dx = k \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} \cdot (x_{i} - x_{i-1})$$
$$\int_{ka}^{kb} s\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \, dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} \cdot (kx_{i} - kx_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} \cdot k \cdot (x_{i} - x_{i-1})$$

We proved that multiplicative constants can be pulled outside of finite sums. Therefore,

$$k \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \cdot k \cdot (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

which proves that

$$\int_{ka}^{kb} s\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \, dx = k \, \int_{a}^{b} s(x) \, dx.$$