

DERIVATIONS, DIRICHLET FORMS AND SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

MARIUS IONESCU, LUKE G. ROGERS, AND ALEXANDER TEPLYAEV

ABSTRACT. We study derivations and Fredholm modules on metric spaces with a Dirichlet form. In particular, on finitely ramified fractals, we show that there is a non-trivial Fredholm module if and only if the fractal is not a tree. This result relates Fredholm modules and topology, and refines and improves known results on p.c.f. fractals.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Background	2
Dirichlet forms and derivations	2
Fredholm modules	6
Resistance forms	6
Finitely ramified cell structures	7
3. Existence of weakly summable Fredholm modules and the Dixmier trace	9
Assumptions and sufficient conditions	9
Fredholm module and summability	10
Dixmier trace	11
Examples	12
4. Structure of Hilbert module and derivation on a finitely ramified set with resistance form	12
Quantum Graphs	13
Finitely ramified sets with finitely ramified cell structure and resistance form	13
Fredholm Modules and summability	18
Summability of $[F, a]$ below the spectral dimension	20
Appendix A. Calculation of projections	23
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical example of a Dirichlet form is $\mathcal{E}(u, u) = \int |\nabla u|^2$ with domain the Sobolev space of functions with one derivative in L^2 . In [CS03jfa] Cipriani and Sauvageot show that any sufficiently well-behaved Dirichlet form on a C^* -algebra has this form, in that there is a map analogous to the gradient and such that the energy is the L^2 norm of

Date: May 10, 2011.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 28A80, 58J42, 46L87, 31C25, 34B45, 60J45, 94C99.

Key words and phrases. Fredholm module, derivation, metric space, Dirichlet form, finitely ramified fractal.

Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation, grant DMS-0505622.

the image. Specifically this map, ∂ , is a derivation (i.e. has the Leibniz property) from the domain of the Dirichlet form to a Hilbert module \mathcal{H} , such that $\|\partial a\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \mathcal{E}(a, a)$. In the case that the Dirichlet form is regular on a separable locally compact metric measure space this construction is a variant of the energy measure construction of LeJan [LeJan]. In particular, understanding the module \mathcal{H} is essentially equivalent to understanding energy measures.

It is now well-known that fractal sets provide interesting examples of Dirichlet forms with properties different to those found on Euclidean spaces. Cipriani and Sauvageot study their derivation in the p.c.f. fractal setting in [CS], obtaining properties of a Fredholm module (an abstract version of an order zero elliptic pseudodifferential operator in the sense of Atiyah [Ati]) from known results on the heat kernel of the diffusion corresponding to \mathcal{E} and the counting function of the associated Laplacian spectrum. These results open up an exciting connection between Dirichlet forms on fractals and the non-commutative geometry of Connes [Connes], so it is natural to ask for an explicit description of the key elements of this connection, namely the Hilbert module \mathcal{H} and its associated Fredholm module.

In this paper we give a concrete description of the elements of the Hilbert module of Cipriani and Sauvageot in the setting of Kigami's resistance forms on finitely ramified fractals, a class which includes the p.c.f. fractals studied in [CS] and many other interesting examples [T08cjm, RoTe]. We give a direct sum decomposition of this module into piecewise harmonic components that correspond to the cellular structure of the fractal. This decomposition further separates the image of the map ∂ from its orthogonal complement and thereby gives an analogue of the Hodge decomposition for \mathcal{H} (Theorem 4.7). By employing this decomposition to analyze the Fredholm module from [CS] we give simpler proofs of the main results from that paper and further prove that summability of the Fredholm module is possible below the spectral dimension. We also clarify the connection between the topology and the Fredholm module by showing that there is a non-trivial Fredholm module if and only if the fractal is not a tree; this corrects Proposition 4.2 of [CS].

As this work is directed at researchers from two areas which have not previously had much interaction we have included a short summary of how the main results are connected to one another and to the known theory.

2. BACKGROUND

Dirichlet forms and derivations. Let (X, Ω, μ) denote a σ -finite measure space X with σ -algebra Ω and positive measure μ . The real Hilbert space $L^2(X, \Omega, \mu)$ is written $L^2(\mu)$, its norm is $\|\cdot\|_2$ and its inner product is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$. A Dirichlet form \mathcal{E} on X is a non-negative, closed, symmetric, quadratic form with with dense domain $\mathcal{F} \subset L^2(\mu)$, and such that \mathcal{E} is sub-Markovian. One formulation of the sub-Markovian property is that $a \in \mathcal{F}$ implies that $\tilde{a}(x) = \min\{1, \max\{a(x), 0\}\}$ defines a function $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{a}) \leq \mathcal{E}(a)$. Another is that if $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a normal contraction, meaning $F(0) = 0$ and

$$|F(x_1, \dots, x_n) - F(y_1, \dots, y_n)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j - y_j|$$

then $F \circ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{F}$ for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}(F \circ (a_1, \dots, a_n))^{1/2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{E}(a_j)^{1/2}$. We write $\mathcal{E}(u, v)$ for the bilinear form obtained from \mathcal{E} by polarization.

In this paper X is a specific type of compact metric space (described later) and Ω is the Borel sigma algebra. The forms we consider are conservative, meaning that $\mathcal{E}(a, b) = 0$ whenever a or b is constant, and strong local, which means that $\mathcal{E}(a, b) = 0$ whenever a is constant on a neighborhood of the support of b (or vice-versa). Provided μ is finite, non-atomic and non-zero on non-empty open sets the form is also regular, meaning that $\mathcal{F} \cap C(X)$ contains a subspace dense in $C(X)$ with respect to the supremum norm and in \mathcal{F} with respect to the norm $(\mathcal{E}(a, a) + \langle a, a \rangle)^{1/2}$.

Since the classical example of a Dirichlet form is $\mathcal{E}(a) = \int |\nabla a|^2$, it is natural to ask whether a general Dirichlet form can be realized in a similar manner. That is, one may ask whether there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a map $\partial : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that ∂ satisfies the Leibniz rule $\partial(ab) = a(\partial b) + (\partial a)b$ and also $\mathcal{E}(u) = \|\partial u\|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Note that for this to be the case \mathcal{H} must be a module over \mathcal{F} . We begin with a standard result and a definition that makes the above question precise.

Lemma 2.1 (Corollary 3.3.2 of [BouleauHirsch]).

$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty(\mu)$ is an algebra and $\mathcal{E}(uv)^{1/2} \leq \|u\|_\infty \mathcal{E}(v)^{1/2} + \|v\|_\infty \mathcal{E}(u)^{1/2}$.

Definition 2.2. A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a bimodule over \mathcal{B} if there are commuting left and right actions of \mathcal{B} as bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . If \mathcal{H} is such a bimodule, then a derivation $\partial : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a map with the Leibniz property $\partial(ab) = (\partial a)b + a(\partial b)$.

The above question now asks whether given a Dirichlet form \mathcal{E} there is a Hilbert module \mathcal{H} and a derivation ∂ so that $\|\partial a\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \mathcal{E}(a)$. In [CS03jfa] Cipriani and Sauvageot resolve this in the affirmative for regular Dirichlet forms on (possibly non-commutative) C^* -algebras by introducing an algebraic construction of a Hilbert module \mathcal{H} and an associated derivation. In the case that the C^* -algebra is commutative this gives an alternative approach to a result of LeJan [LeJan] about energy measures. We will use both the LeJan and Cipriani-Sauvageot descriptions.

From the results of LeJan [LeJan] for any regular strong local Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ and $a, b, c \in \mathcal{B}$ there is a (finite, signed Borel) measure $\nu_{a,b}$ such that if $f \in \mathcal{F} \cap C(X)$

$$(2.1) \quad \int f d\nu_{a,b} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{E}(af, b) + \mathcal{E}(bf, a) - \mathcal{E}(ab, f) \right)$$

$$(2.2) \quad d\nu_{ab,c} = a d\nu_{b,c} + b d\nu_{a,c}$$

where the latter encodes the Leibniz rule (see Lemma 3.2.5 and Theorem 3.2.2 of [FOT]). The measure $\nu_a = \nu_{a,a}$ is positive and is called the *energy measure* of a . Note that in the classical theory $d\nu_{a,b} = \nabla a \cdot \nabla b dm$ where dm is Lebesgue measure.

The energy measures do not directly produce a Hilbert module and derivation as specified above, however the connection will soon be apparent. We consider the Cipriani-Sauvageot construction from [CS03jfa], an intuitive description of which is that \mathcal{H} should contain all elements $(\partial a)b$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$, and should have two multiplication rules, one corresponding to $\partial(aa')b$ using the Leibniz rule and the other to $(\partial a)bb'$. A well-known technique suggests beginning with a tensor product of two copies of \mathcal{B} ,

one incorporating the Leibniz multiplication and the other having the usual multiplication in \mathcal{B} , and making the correspondence $(\partial a)b = a \otimes b$. Cipriani and Sauvageot then obtain a pre-Hilbert structure by analogy with the classical case where the derivation is ∇ and $\mathcal{E}(a) = \int |\nabla a|^2$. The problem of defining $\|a \otimes b\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ is then analogous to that of obtaining $\int |b|^2 |\nabla a|^2$ using only \mathcal{E} , which is essentially the same problem considered by LeJan and leads to $2\|a \otimes b\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 2\mathcal{E}(a|b|^2, a) - \mathcal{E}(|a|^2, |b|^2)$. The corresponding inner product formula is precisely (2.3) below, and should be compared to the right side of (2.1).

Definition 2.3. *On $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ let*

$$(2.3) \quad \langle a \otimes b, c \otimes d \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{E}(a, cdb) + \mathcal{E}(abd, c) - \mathcal{E}(bd, ac) \right).$$

and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ the associated semi-norm. The space \mathcal{H} is obtained by taking the quotient by the norm-zero subspace and then the completion in $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Left and right actions of \mathcal{B} on \mathcal{H} are defined on $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ by linearity from

$$\begin{aligned} a(b \otimes c) &= (ab) \otimes c - a \otimes (bc) \\ (b \otimes c)d &= b \otimes (cd) \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{B}$, and extended to \mathcal{H} by continuity and density. This makes \mathcal{H} an \mathcal{B} bimodule, and it can be made an L^∞ bimodule by taking limits of these actions using weak*. Completeness of \mathcal{H} allows the definition of $a \otimes b$ for any $a \in \mathcal{B}$ and $b \in L^\infty$, the derivation $\partial_0 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$\partial_0 a = a \otimes \mathbf{1}_X.$$

and we have $(\partial_0 a)b = a \otimes b$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$.

Of course the validity of the above definition depends on verifying several assertions. Bilinearity of (2.3) is immediate but one must check it is positive definite on finite linear combinations $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j$, see (2.5) below. One must also verify that the module actions of \mathcal{B} are bounded operators on $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ so can be continuously extended to \mathcal{H} , and that the resulting maps of \mathcal{B} into the bounded operators on \mathcal{H} are themselves bounded, so that the module actions can be extended to actions of L^∞ , see (2.6) and (2.7). A simple computation then shows the module actions commute, and we also note that boundedness of the module actions implies they are well-defined on the quotient by the zero-norm subspace. Finally we must define $a \otimes b$ for $a \in \mathcal{B}$, $b \in L^\infty$. This follows in our setting from (2.8) and compactness of X .

The following theorem, which is an amalgam of the results in Section 3 of [CS03jfa] verifies that all of these are possible. In our setting its proof is a quick consequence of writing

$$(2.4) \quad \langle a \otimes b, c \otimes d \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int b(x)d(x) d\nu_{a,c}$$

using the energy measure of LeJan.

Theorem 2.4 ([CS03jfa]). *For any finite set $\{a_j \otimes b_j\}_1^n$ in $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and $c \in L^\infty(\mu)$*

$$(2.5) \quad \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j, \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0$$

$$(2.6) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j \otimes b_j) c \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|c\|_\infty \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

$$(2.7) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n c(a_j \otimes b_j) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|c\|_\infty \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|a \otimes b\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|b\|_\infty \mathcal{E}(a)^{1/2}.$$

Remark 2.5. *The construction of \mathcal{H} is quite simple in our setting. We note that additional technicalities arise in the C^* -algebra case, and in the case where \mathcal{E} is not conservative. These will not concern us here, so we refer the interested reader to [CS03jfa].*

Observe from (2.4) that $\langle a \otimes b, c \otimes d \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is well-defined for all $a, c \in \mathcal{B}$ provided that b, d are both in $L^2(\nu_a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{B}$. This is equivalent to being in the L^2 space of the classical Carré du Champ, so one should think of \mathcal{H} as the tensor product of \mathcal{B} with this L^2 space.

We will need two additional results regarding energy measures and the Hilbert module. The first is known but difficult to find in the literature, while the second is not written anywhere in this form, though it underlies the discussion in Section 10.1 of [CS03jfa] because it implies in particular that $\partial(ab) = a(\partial b) + b(\partial a)$ rather than just $a(\partial b) + (\partial a)b$. It is particularly easy to understand in our setting and will be essential later.

Theorem 2.6. *The energy measure ν_a of $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is non-atomic.*

The proof of Theorem 2.6 follows from Theorem 7.1.1 in [BouleauHirsch].

Theorem 2.7. *If \mathcal{E} is as above and $a, b, c \in \mathcal{B}$ then $a(b \otimes c) = (b \otimes c)a$ in \mathcal{H} .*

Remark 2.8. *Since left multiplication by a is defined only for $a \in \mathcal{B}$ while right multiplication is defined at least for $a \in L^\infty$ this result says that left multiplication coincides with the restriction of right multiplication to \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. Observe first that $a(b \otimes c) - (b \otimes c)a = ab \otimes c - a \otimes bc - b \otimes ac = (ab \otimes 1 - a \otimes b - b \otimes a)c$. Direct computation using (2.2) verifies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (ab \otimes 1 - a \otimes b - b \otimes a)c \|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &= \int c^2 (d\nu_{ab,ab} + b^2 d\nu_{a,a} + a^2 d\nu_{b,b} - 2b d\nu_{ab,a} - 2a d\nu_{ab,b} + 2ab d\nu_{a,b}) \\ &= \int c^2 (-a d\nu_{b,ab} - b d\nu_{a,ab} + b^2 d\nu_{a,a} + a^2 d\nu_{b,b} + 2ab d\nu_{a,b}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Fredholm modules. The notion of a Fredholm module generalizes that of an elliptic differential operator on a compact manifold, and is central to the theory of non-commutative geometry [Connes, Chapter 4]. The size of such operators is described using the Schatten–von Neumann norm.

Definition 2.9. A Hilbert module \mathcal{H} over an involutive algebra \mathcal{A} is Fredholm if there is a self-adjoint involution F on \mathcal{H} such that for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the commutator $[F, a]$ is a compact operator. A Fredholm module (\mathcal{H}, F) is p -summable for some $p \in [1, \infty)$ if for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the p^{th} power of the Schatten–von Neumann norm $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n^p([F, a])$ is finite, where $\{s_n\}$ is the set of singular values of $[F, a]$. It is weakly p -summable if $\sup_{N \geq 1} N^{1/p-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s_n([F, a])$ is finite, unless $p = 1$ in which case weak 1-summability is that $\sup_{N \geq 2} (\log N)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s_n([F, a]) < \infty$.

Remark 2.10. Weak p -summability of $[F, a]$ is not the same as weak 1-summability of $|[F, a]|^p$. This causes a minor error in [CS, Theorem 3.9] which we will correct in Remark 3.3 below (this does not affect other results in the cited paper).

When comparing ordinary calculus with the quantized calculus of non-commutative geometry, integration is replaced by the Dixmier trace of a compact operator. One consequence of Dixmier’s construction [Dix] is that if R is a weakly 1-summable operator then it has a Dixmier trace $\text{Tr}_w(R)$. In essence this is a notion of limit

$$\text{Tr}_w(R) = \lim_w (\log N)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^N s_n(R).$$

The trace is finite, positive and unitary, and it vanishes on trace-class operators.

Cipriani and Sauvageot [CS] have shown that post-critically finite sets with regular harmonic structure support a Fredholm module for which $|[F, a]|^{d_S}$ is weakly 1-summable, where d_S is the so-called “spectral dimension”. We give a shorter and more general version of their proof in Section 3 below. They have used this and the Dixmier trace to obtain a conformally invariant energy functional on any set of this type.

Resistance forms. In much of what follows we will consider a special class of Dirichlet forms, the resistance forms of Kigami [Ki01, Ki03]. In essence these are the Dirichlet forms for which points have positive capacity. A formal definition is as follows.

Definition 2.11. A pair $(\mathcal{E}, \text{Dom } \mathcal{E})$ is called a resistance form on a countable set V_* if it satisfies:

- (RF1) $\text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$ is a linear subspace of the functions $V_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that contains the constants, \mathcal{E} is a nonnegative symmetric quadratic form on $\text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$, and $\mathcal{E}(u, u) = 0$ if and only if u is constant.
- (RF2) The quotient of $\text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$ by constant functions is Hilbert space with norm $\mathcal{E}(u, u)^{1/2}$.
- (RF3) If v is a function on a finite set $V \subset V_*$ then there is $u \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$ with $u|_V = v$.
- (RF4) For any $x, y \in V_*$ the effective resistance between x and y is

$$R(x, y) = \sup \left\{ \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{\mathcal{E}(u, u)} : u \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}(u, u) > 0 \right\} < \infty.$$

(RF5) (*Markov Property.*) If $u \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$ then $\bar{u}(x) = \max(0, \min(1, u(x))) \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}(\bar{u}, \bar{u}) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$.

The main feature of resistance forms is that they are completely determined by a sequence of traces to finite subsets. The following results of Kigami make this precise.

Proposition 2.12 ([Ki01, Ki03]). *Resistance forms have the following properties.*

- (1) $R(x, y)$ is a metric on V_* . Functions in $\text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$ are R -continuous, thus have unique R -continuous extension to the R -completion X_R of V_* .
- (2) If $U \subset V_*$ is finite then a Dirichlet form \mathcal{E}_U on U may be defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_U(f, f) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}(g, g) : g \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{E}, g|_U = f\}$$

in which the infimum is achieved at a unique g . The form \mathcal{E}_U is called the trace of \mathcal{E} on U , denoted $\mathcal{E}_U = \text{Trace}_U(\mathcal{E})$. If $U_1 \subset U_2$ then $\mathcal{E}_{U_1} = \text{Trace}_{U_1}(\mathcal{E}_{U_2})$.

Proposition 2.13 ([Ki01, Ki03]). *Suppose $V_n \subset V_*$ are finite sets such that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ is R -dense in V_* . Then $\mathcal{E}_{V_n}(f, f)$ is non-decreasing and $\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_{V_n}(f, f)$ for any $f \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$. Hence \mathcal{E} is uniquely defined by the sequence of finite dimensional traces \mathcal{E}_{V_n} on V_n .*

Conversely, suppose V_n is a sequence of finite sets each supporting a resistance form \mathcal{E}_{V_n} , and the sequence is compatible in that each \mathcal{E}_{V_n} is the trace of $\mathcal{E}_{V_{n+1}}$ on V_n . Then there is a resistance form \mathcal{E} on $V_ = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ such that $\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_{V_n}(f, f)$ for any $f \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$.*

For convenience we will write $\mathcal{E}_n(f, f) = \mathcal{E}_{V_n}(f, f)$. A function is called harmonic if it minimizes the energy for the given set of boundary values, so a harmonic function is uniquely defined by its restriction to V_0 . It is shown in [Ki03] that any function h_0 on V_0 has a unique continuation to a harmonic function h , and $\mathcal{E}(h, h) = \mathcal{E}_n(h, h)$ for all n . This latter is also a sufficient condition: if $g \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$ then $\mathcal{E}_0(g, g) \leq \mathcal{E}(g, g)$ with equality precisely when g is harmonic.

For any function f on V_n there is a unique energy minimizer h among those functions equal to f on V_n . Such energy minimizers are called n -harmonic functions. As with harmonic functions, for any function $g \in \text{Dom } \mathcal{E}$ we have $\mathcal{E}_n(g, g) \leq \mathcal{E}(g, g)$, and h is n -harmonic if and only if $\mathcal{E}_n(h, h) = \mathcal{E}(h, h)$.

Finitely ramified cell structures. Analysis using Kigami's resistance forms was first developed on the Sierpinski gasket and then generalized to the class of post-critically finite self-similar (pcfss) sets, which includes gasket-type fractals and many other examples [Ki01]. It has subsequently been recognized [T08cjm] that this theory is applicable to a more general class of metric spaces with finitely ramified cell structure as defined below. The latter include non-pcf variants of the Sierpiński gasket [T08cjm], the diamond fractals in [ADT] and the Basilica Julia set treated in [RoTe].

Definition 2.14. *A finitely ramified set X is a compact metric space with a cell structure $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ and a boundary (vertex) structure $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ such that:*

- (FRCS1) \mathcal{A} is a countable index set;
- (FRCS2) each X_α is a distinct compact connected subset of X ;

- (FRCS3) each V_α is a finite subset of X_α ;
- (FRCS4) if $X_\alpha = \bigcup_{j=1}^k X_{\alpha_j}$ then $V_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k V_{\alpha_j}$;
- (FRCS5) there exists a filtration $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that
- (i) \mathcal{A}_n are finite subsets of \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{0\}$, and $X_0 = X$;
 - (ii) $\mathcal{A}_n \cap \mathcal{A}_m = \emptyset$ if $n \neq m$;
 - (iii) for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$ there are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ such that $X_\alpha = \bigcup_{j=1}^k X_{\alpha_j}$;
- (FRCS6) $X_{\alpha'} \cap X_\alpha = V_{\alpha'} \cap V_\alpha$ for any two distinct $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{A}_n$;
- (FRCS7) for any strictly decreasing infinite cell sequence $X_{\alpha_1} \supsetneq X_{\alpha_2} \supsetneq \dots$ there exists $x \in X$ such that $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} X_{\alpha_n} = \{x\}$.

If these conditions are satisfied, then $(X, \{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}, \{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}})$ is called a *finitely ramified cell structure*.

We denote $V_n = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} V_\alpha$. Note that $V_n \subset V_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$. We say that X_α is an n -cell if $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$. In this definition the vertex boundary V_0 of $X_0 = X$ can be arbitrary, and in general may have no relation with the topological structure of X . However the cell structure is intimately connected to the topology. For any $x \in X$ there is a strictly decreasing infinite sequence of cells satisfying condition (FRCS7) of the definition. The diameter of cells in any such sequence tend to zero. The topological boundary of X_α is contained in V_α for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. The set $V_* = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} V_\alpha$ is countably infinite, and X is uncountable. For any distinct $x, y \in X$ there is $n(x, y)$ such that if $m \geq n(x, y)$ then any m -cell can not contain both x and y . For any $x \in X$ and $n \geq 0$, let $U_n(x)$ denote the union of all n -cells that contain x . Then the collection of open sets $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n(x)^\circ\}_{x \in X, n \geq 0}$ is a fundamental sequence of neighborhoods. Here B° denotes the topological interior of a set B . Moreover, for any $x \in X$ and open neighborhood U of x there exist $y \in V_*$ and n such that $x \in U_n(x) \subset U_n(y) \subset U$. In particular, the smaller collection of open sets $\mathcal{U}' = \{U_n(x)^\circ\}_{x \in V_*, n \geq 0}$ is a countable fundamental sequence of neighborhoods. A detailed treatment of finitely ramified cell structures may be found in [T08cjm].

Let us now suppose that there is a resistance form $(\mathcal{E}, \text{dom } \mathcal{E})$ on V_* and recall that \mathcal{E}_n is the trace of \mathcal{E} on the finite set V_n . Since it is finite dimensional, \mathcal{E}_n may be written as $\mathcal{E}_n(u, u) = \sum_{x, y \in V_n} c_{xy} (u(x) - u(y))^2$ for some non-negative constants c_{xy} . It is therefore possible to restrict \mathcal{E}_n to X_α by setting

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(u, u) = \sum_{x, y \in V_\alpha} c_{xy} (u(x) - u(y))^2.$$

Note that this differs from the trace of \mathcal{E}_n to V_α because the latter includes the effect of connections both inside and outside X_α , while \mathcal{E}_α involves only those inside X_α . It follows immediately that

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{E}_n = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} \mathcal{E}_{V_\alpha}$$

for all n .

When using a finitely ramified cell structure with resistance form we will make some assumptions relating the topology of X to the resistance metric. The first is that each \mathcal{E}_n is irreducible on V_α , ensuring connectivity in the resistance metric. The

second is that all n -harmonic functions are continuous in the topology of X . It is proved in [T08cjm] that then any X -continuous function is R -continuous and any R -Cauchy sequence converges in the topology of X . There is also a continuous injection $\theta : X_R \rightarrow X$ which is the identity on V_* , so we can identify the R -completion X_R of V_* with the the R -closure of V_* in X . In a sense, X_R is the set where the Dirichlet form \mathcal{E} “lives”.

Proposition 2.15 ([Ki03, T08cjm]). *Suppose that all n -harmonic functions are continuous in the topology of X and let μ be a finite Borel measure on (X_R, R) such that all nonempty open sets have positive measure. Then \mathcal{E} is a local regular Dirichlet form on $L^2(X_R, \mu)$. Moreover if u is n -harmonic then its energy measure ν_u is a non-atomic Borel measure on X that satisfies $\nu_u(X_\alpha) = \mathcal{E}_\alpha(u|_{V_\alpha}, u|_{V_\alpha})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m$, $m \geq n$.*

Note that this proposition allows one to compute the energy measures explicitly. Since the Hilbert module \mathcal{H} is determined by the energy measures, we may anticipate that n -harmonic functions can be used to understand \mathcal{H} .

3. EXISTENCE OF WEAKLY SUMMABLE FREDHOLM MODULES AND THE DIXMIER TRACE

In this section we prove that a metric measure space with a Dirichlet form that satisfies certain hypotheses will also support a Fredholm module such that $\|[F, a]\|^{d_S}$ is weakly 1-summable for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$, where d_S is the spectral dimension. The proof we give here is closely modeled on that in [CS], though it is somewhat shorter and gives a more general result.

Assumptions and sufficient conditions. Recall that X is a compact metric measure space with regular Dirichlet form \mathcal{E} and that \mathcal{H} is the Hilbert module such that $\mathcal{E}(a) = \|\partial a \otimes \mathbf{1}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$. Our hypotheses, which are assumed in all results in this section, are as follows:

- A1 There is a positive definite self-adjoint operator $-\Delta$ associated to \mathcal{E} in the usual way (see [FOT] for details) with discrete spectrum that may be written (with repetition according to multiplicity) $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ accumulating only at ∞
- A2 There is spectral dimension $1 \leq d_S < 2$ such that for $d_S < p \leq 2$ the operator $(-\Delta)^{p/2}$ has continuous kernel $G_p(x, y)$ with bound $\|G_p(x, y)\|_\infty \leq \frac{C}{p-d_S}$.

A sufficient set of conditions for the validity of A1 follow from Theorem 8.13 of [Ki03]. Suppose (X, μ, \mathcal{E}) is a space on which \mathcal{E} is a resistance form (see Definition 2.11) such that \mathcal{E} is regular. Further assume that μ is a non-atomic Borel probability measure. Then for any non-empty finite subset $X_0 \subset X$ (the boundary of X) there is a self-adjoint Laplacian with compact resolvent and non-zero first eigenvalue, so in particular assumption A1 is satisfied.

A sufficient condition for A2 can be obtained from assumptions on the behavior of the heat kernel. If $h(t, x, y)$ is the kernel of $e^{-t\Delta}$ and we assume it is continuous and

has $\|h(t, x, y)\|_\infty \leq Ct^{-d_S/2}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ then using spectral theory to obtain

$$G_p(x, y) = \Gamma(p/2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty t^{p/2-1} h(t, x, y) dt$$

we see that $\lambda_1 > 0$ ensures $h(t, x, y) \leq C_1 e^{-t\lambda_1/2}$ for $t \geq 1$, while for $t \in (0, 1)$ we have $h_t(x, x) \leq C_2 t^{-d_S/2}$. The assumed bound on G_p follows. Well known results of Nash [Nash], Carlen-Kusuoka-Strook [CKS], Grigoryan [Grig] and Carron [Car] yield that the given bound on the heat kernel is equivalent to a Nash inequality $\|u\|_2^{2+4/d_S} \|u\|_1^{-4/d_S} \leq C_3 \mathcal{E}(u)$ and to a Faber-Krahn inequality $\inf_u \mathcal{E}(u) / \|u\|_2^2 \geq C_4 \mu(\Omega)^{-d_S}$ for any non-empty open set Ω , where the infimum is over nonzero elements of the closure of the functions in \mathcal{F} having support in Ω .

Fredholm module and summability. Let P be the projection in \mathcal{H} onto the closure of the image of ∂ , let P^\perp be the orthogonal projection and let $F = P - P^\perp$. Clearly F is self-adjoint and $F^2 = I$. We show that (\mathcal{H}, F) is a Fredholm module and investigate its summability.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\xi_k = \lambda_k^{-1/2} a_k(x)$ be the orthonormal basis for $P\mathcal{H}$ obtained from the ($L^2(\mu)$ normalized) eigenfunctions a_k of Δ with eigenvalues λ_k . Then there is a constant C so that for any $d_S < p \leq 2$*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|P^\perp a P \xi_k\|^p \leq \frac{C}{p - d_S} \mu(X)^{1-p/2} \mathcal{E}(a)^{p/2}.$$

Proof. As in [CS] equation (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain from the Leibniz rule $P^\perp a \partial b = P^\perp (\partial(ab) - (\partial a)b) = -P^\perp (\partial a)b$, hence $\|(P^\perp a P) \partial b\| = \|P^\perp a \partial b\| \leq \|(\partial a)b\|$. Following the argument of [CS] equations (3.21),(3.22) we apply Hölder's inequality when $p < 2$ to find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|P^\perp a P \xi_k\|^p &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\lambda_k^{-1/2} (\partial a) a_k(x)\|^p \\ (3.1) \quad &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_X \lambda_k^{-1} a_k^2(x) d\nu_{a,a}(x) \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-p/2} \right)^{1-p/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_X \lambda_k^{-p/2} a_k^2(x) d\nu_{a,a}(x) \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

However $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-p/2} a_k(x) a_k(y) = G_p(x, y)$. Notice also that since $\int_X a_k^2(x) d\mu(x) = 1$ we may write $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-p/2} = \int_X G_p(x, x) d\mu(x)$. Thus our estimate becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|P^\perp a P \xi_k\|^p &\leq \left(\int_X G_p(x, x) d\mu(x) \right)^{1-p/2} \left(\int_X G_p(x, x) d\nu_{a,a}(x) \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{p - d_S} \mu(X)^{1-p/2} \mathcal{E}(a)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case that $p = 2$ we can omit the Hölder estimate as the result is immediate from (3.1). \square

Corollary 3.2. *For $a \in C(X)$ the operator $[F, a]$ is compact, while for $a \in \mathcal{F}$ it is p -summable for $d_S < p \leq 2$. Hence (F, \mathcal{H}) is a densely p -summable Fredholm module over $C(K)$ for $p \in (d_S, 2]$. Moreover $|[F, a]|^{d_S}$ is weakly 1-summable for $a \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. We need the observation (from [CS], at the beginning of the proof of Theorems 3.3 and 3.6) that $[F, a] = 2(PaP^\perp - P^\perp aP)$, so its n^{th} singular value is at most 4 times the n^{th} singular value of $P^\perp aP$. However applying Lemma 3.1 shows that if $a \in \mathcal{F}$ then

$$\text{Trace}(|P^\perp aP|^p) \leq \frac{C}{p - d_S} \mu(X)^{1-p/2} \mathcal{E}(a)^{p/2}$$

hence the same bound is true for $4^{-p} \text{Trace}(\|[F, a]\|^p)$. This gives the p -summability. In particular with $p = 2$ it shows $[F, a]$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, so uniform density of \mathcal{F} in $C(X)$ (because \mathcal{E} is regular) and continuity of $[F, a]$ with respect to uniform convergence of a (which comes from (2.7)) implies $[F, a]$ is compact for all $a \in C(X)$. Moreover the fact that the trace of $|P^\perp aP|^p$ is bounded by a function having a simple pole at d_S implies that $|P^\perp aP|^{d_S}$ is weak 1-summable. The proof is a version of the Hardy-Littlewood Tauberian theorem, a detailed proof in this setting may be found in Lemma 3.7 of [CS]; it also follows by direct application of Theorem 4.5 or Corollary 4.6 of [CRSS]. \square

Remark 3.3. *It is stated in Theorem 3.8 of [CS] that $|[F, a]|$ is weakly d_S -summable, but what they verify is that $|[F, a]|^{d_S}$ is weakly 1-summable. The two are not equivalent (see Section 4.4 of [CRSS]), but the latter is sufficient for their later results, and for our work here.*

Dixmier trace. As a consequence of the weak summability, Cipriani and Sauvageot [CS] conclude that for any Dixmier trace τ_w there is a bound of the form

$$(3.2) \quad \tau_w(|[F, a]|^{d_S}) \leq C(d_S) \mathcal{E}(a)^{d_S/2} \left(\tau_w(H_D^{-d_S/2}) \right)^{1-d_S/2}$$

where H_D is the Dirichlet Laplacian. A similar estimate may be obtained from Corollary 3.2 as an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4(2) and Theorem 4.11 of [CRSS].

Corollary 3.4. *If w is a state that is D_2 dilation invariant and P^α power invariant (for $\alpha > 1$) in the sense of Section 3 of [CRSS], and $a \in \mathcal{F}$ then*

$$\tau_w(|[F, a]|^{d_S}) \leq C 4^{d_S} \mu(X)^{1-d_S/2} \mathcal{E}(a)^{d_S/2}.$$

On a space with self-similarity this implies a type of conformal invariance.

Definition 3.5. *X is a self-similar metric-measure-Dirichlet space if there are a finite collection of continuous injections $F_j : X \rightarrow X$ and factors $r_j > 0$, $\mu_j \in (0, 1)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ with $\sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j = 1$ such that $(X, \{F_j\})$ is a self-similar structure (in the sense of [Ki01] Definition 1.3.1), μ is the unique self-similar Borel probability measure satisfying $\mu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j \mu(F_j^{-1}(A))$, and $a \in \mathcal{F}$ iff $a \circ F_j \in \mathcal{F}$ for all j with $\mathcal{E}(a) = \sum_{j=1}^N r_j^{-1} \mathcal{E}(a \circ F_j)$.*

It is well known that if X is self-similar in the above sense and satisfies the hypotheses from the beginning of this section, then $\mu_j = r_j^{d_S/(2-d_S)}$ (see [Ki01], Theorem 4.15).

Corollary 3.6. *If X is a self-similar metric-measure-Dirichlet space then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \tau_w(|[F, a \circ F_j]|^{d_S}) \leq C 4^{d_S} \mu(X)^{1-d_S/2} \mathcal{E}(a)^{d_S/2}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 3.11 of [CS] we note from Hölder's inequality that

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(a \circ F_j)^{d_S} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^N r_j^{d_S/(2-d_S)} \right)^{1-d_S/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N r_j^{-1} \mathcal{E}(a \circ F_j) \right)^{d_S/2} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j \right)^{1-d_S/2} \mathcal{E}(a)^{d_S/2}$$

so the result follows by applying Corollary 3.4 to each $a \circ F_j$ and summing over j . \square

Cipriani and Sauvageot use (3.2), along with a uniqueness result from [CS03jfa], to show that the quantity $\tau_w(|[F, a]|^{d_S})$ is a conformal invariant on any post-critically finite self-similar fractal with regular harmonic structure. The same result is true with identical proof for a self-similar metric-measure-Dirichlet space satisfying the hypotheses of this section, with the same proof.

Examples. It is already shown in [CS] that the foregoing theory is applicable in its entirety to post-critically finite self-similar fractals with regular harmonic structure. Of the above results, only Corollary 3.6 relies on self-similarity and none require postcritical finiteness, though all need spectral dimension $d_S \leq 2$. Hence the results preceding Corollary 3.6 are valid on such sets as the homogeneous hierarchical sets of [Ham96], the Basilica Julia set studied in [RoTe] and the finitely ramified graph-directed sets of Hambly and Nyberg (see [HaNy], especially Remark 5.5) which are not self-similar but are finitely ramified. All of the results, including the existence of the conformal invariant(s) $\tau_w(|[F, a]|^{d_S})$ are also valid on certain diamond fractals [ADT], a non-p.c.f. variant of the Sierpinski Gasket [T08cjm], and Laakso spaces of Hausdorff dimension less than 2 [St10] which are self-similar but not postcritically finite. Perhaps even more interesting is that all of the results are valid on certain Sierpinski carpets, including the usual Sierpinski carpet in \mathbb{R}^2 , see [BB, BB]

4. STRUCTURE OF HILBERT MODULE AND DERIVATION ON A FINITELY RAMIFIED SET WITH RESISTANCE FORM

In this section we give an explicit description of the structure of the Hilbert module and the derivation map in the case that X is a finitely ramified set with resistance form. Our results are motivated by what happens on a quantum graph, where the structure is obtained by gluing together the usual Dirichlet spaces for intervals. We also give a different proof of the summability results for the Fredholm module via piecewise harmonic functions. This proof illustrates some similarities between the map F and the classical Hilbert transform, as it shows how to make $[F, a]$ near diagonal in a suitable basis.

Quantum Graphs. The simplest generalization from the classical case is a quantum graph [Kuchment04, Kuchment05, AGA08], which is a finite collection of intervals with some endpoints identified. We assume that the resistance per unit length is one, and that the edges have natural distance parametrization. A Dirichlet form can then be defined by $\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \int (f'(x))^2 dx$. Its domain $\text{dom } \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}$ consists of absolutely continuous functions with square integrable derivative. As in the classical situation, mapping $a \otimes b \in \mathcal{H}$ to $a'(x)b(x)$ provides an isometry between \mathcal{H} and the usual L^2 space on the quantum graph with the Lebesgue measure, simply because the energy measure $\nu_{a,a}$ of a is $|\nabla a|^2 dm$ with dm equal the Lebesgue measure on each interval.

It is useful to note that the inverse of $a \otimes b \mapsto a'(x)b(x)$ can be written as follows. Let $\mathbb{1}_j$ be the indicator function of the j^{th} edge and suppose $f \in L^2$. Then for each j there is an absolutely continuous function a_j such that $a'_j = f$ on the j^{th} edge. Mapping $L^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $f \mapsto \sum_j a_j \otimes \mathbb{1}_j$ is the desired inverse.

Combining the above with standard results of graph theory, the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and integration by parts along each edge we obtain the following description of \mathcal{H} and the derivation. This result serves as a model for some of the work we then do on finitely ramified sets with finitely ramified cell structure and resistance form.

Proposition 4.1. *Identifying \mathcal{H} and L^2 as above, the derivation $\partial : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} = L^2$ is the usual derivative (which takes orientation of edges into account). The closure of the image of ∂ consists of functions whose oriented integral over any cycle is zero, and its orthogonal space consists of functions that are constant on each edge and have oriented sum equal to zero at each vertex. In particular, the dimension of the latter is the number of cycles in the graph (i.e. the number of edges not belonging to a maximal tree) and is zero if and only if the graph is a tree.*

Finitely ramified sets with finitely ramified cell structure and resistance form. In what follows we suppose X is a finitely ramified set with finitely ramified cell structure and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} = \text{Dom } \mathcal{E})$ is a resistance form compatible with X in the sense described in Section 2. Let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert module corresponding to \mathcal{E} as defined by Cipriani-Sauvageot and described in Definition 2.3. Recall in particular that $a \otimes b$ is a well defined whenever $a \in \mathcal{F}$ and $b \in L^\infty$. The Fredholm module is (\mathcal{H}, F) . Our initial goal is to show that \mathcal{H} may be obtained from piecewise harmonic functions.

Any n -harmonic function h is in \mathcal{F} , so $h \otimes b \in \mathcal{H}$ for $b \in L^\infty$. Moreover, if c is constant then $\|c \otimes b\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ and therefore $(h + c) \otimes b = h \otimes b$ in \mathcal{H} . It follows that if $H_n(X)$ denotes the n -harmonic functions on X modulo additive constants and $h \in H_n(X)$ then $h \otimes b$ is a well-defined element of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 4.2. *We define subspaces*

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} h_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1}_\alpha \right\} \subset \mathcal{H}$$

where the sum is over all n -cells, $\mathbb{1}_\alpha$ is the indicator of the n -cell X_α , and $h_\alpha \in H_n(X)$ is an n -harmonic function modulo additive constants.

Lemma 4.3. $\mathcal{H}_n \subset \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ for all n .

Proof. If $X_\alpha = \cup X_\beta$ is the decomposition of the n -cell X_α into $(n+1)$ -cells then $h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha - \sum_\beta h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\beta = h_\alpha \otimes c$ where the support of c is finitely many points. The energy measure associated to h_α does not charge finite sets (see Theorem 2.6), so this difference has zero \mathcal{H} norm. Since h_α is n -harmonic it is also $(n+1)$ -harmonic and the result follows. \square

The reason that these subspaces are useful in decomposing \mathcal{H} according to the cellular structure is that the \mathcal{H} -norm decomposes as a sum of energies on cells.

Theorem 4.4. If $h_\alpha \in H_n(X)$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$ then

$$(4.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} \mathcal{E}_\alpha(h_\alpha, h_\alpha).$$

Proof. From (2.4) we have

$$\langle h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha, h_\beta \otimes \mathbf{1}_\beta \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int \mathbf{1}_\alpha(x) \mathbf{1}_\beta(x) d\nu_{h_\alpha, h_\beta}$$

where $d\nu(h_\alpha, h_\beta)$ is the (signed) energy measure corresponding to h_α, h_β . These measures are non-atomic (Theorem 2.6), so the inner product is zero if $\alpha \neq \beta$ are in \mathcal{A}_n . Thus $\left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ is simply $\sum_\alpha \nu_{h_\alpha}(X_\alpha)$. But Proposition 2.15 says $\nu_{h_\alpha}(X_\alpha) = \mathcal{E}_\alpha(h_\alpha, h_\alpha)$. \square

With this in hand we may view $h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha$ as an element of the harmonic functions modulo constants on X_α and $u = \sum_\alpha h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_n$ as a harmonic function modulo constants on each n -cell, as if the n -cells were a disjoint union. This is an exact analogue of the quantum graph case. The next lemma makes this statement explicit.

Lemma 4.5. For each n -cell X_α let $\mathcal{H}_0(X_\alpha)$ be the space obtained by applying Definition 4.2 to the form \mathcal{E}_α on X_α . Given an element $g_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_0(X_\alpha)$ for each n -cell X_α , let h_α be any n -harmonic function equal to g_α on X_α . Then the map $\{g_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha\}_\alpha \mapsto \sum_\alpha h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha$ is well-defined and provides an isometric isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} H_0(X_\alpha) \cong \mathcal{H}_n$$

in that $\sum \|g_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{H}_0(X_\alpha)}^2 = \left\| \sum_\alpha h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$

Proof. Suppose g_α and $g_{\alpha'}$ are harmonic on X_α and differ by a constant, so represent the same element of $\mathcal{H}_0(X_\alpha)$. Existence of an n -harmonic h_α that equals g_α on X_α is guaranteed by (RF3) of Definition 2.11 (and similarly for $g_{\alpha'}$). Then $h_\alpha - h'_\alpha$ is constant on X_α , whereupon $(h_\alpha - h'_\alpha) \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha$ has zero \mathcal{H} -norm by (2.4) and Theorem 2.6. We conclude that the map is well-defined, and it is immediate that it is surjective. Since $\|g_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{H}_0(X_\alpha)}^2 = \mathcal{E}_\alpha(g_\alpha, g_\alpha)$ the map is isometric by (4.1). \square

The perspective provided by this lemma is particularly useful in understanding the action of the derivation ∂ on \mathcal{H} . Recall that $\partial : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $\partial a = a \otimes \mathbf{1}$. Let us write P for the projection onto the closure of the image of the derivation, so $P\mathcal{H}$ consists of

elements of \mathcal{H} that may be approximated in \mathcal{H} norm by elements $a_n \otimes \mathbf{1}$. We write P^\perp for the orthogonal projection. One way to view the following theorem is as a description of $P\mathcal{H}$ and $P^\perp\mathcal{H}$ in terms of compatibility conditions in the isomorphism of Lemma 4.5: according to (2) the functions $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n}$ give an element of $P\mathcal{H}_n$ if and only if their values coincide at intersection points of cells, while (3) says that they give an element of $P^\perp\mathcal{H}$ if and only if their normal derivatives sum to zero at intersection points. The (inward) normal derivatives $d_n u$ are defined in [Ki03, Theorems 6.6 and 6.8].

Theorem 4.6. *If \mathcal{H} is the Hilbert module of a resistance form on a finitely ramified cell structure, P is the projection from \mathcal{H} onto the closure of the image of the derivation ∂ , and P^\perp is the orthogonal projection then*

- (1) $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$ is dense in \mathcal{H} .
- (2) $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha = f \otimes \mathbf{1}, \text{ where } f \text{ is } n\text{-harmonic} \right\}$ is dense in $P\mathcal{H}$.
- (3) $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha : \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} \mathbf{1}_\alpha(v) d_n h_\alpha(v) = 0 \text{ for every } v \in V_n \right\}$ is dense in $P^\perp\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Given $a \otimes b \in \mathcal{H}$ we may approximate a in the energy norm by n -harmonic functions a_n (as in [Ki03]) and b uniformly by simple functions b_n that are constant on n -cells. The latter is possible for any continuous b by our topological assumptions. By Proposition 2.13 and the fact that the finitely ramified cell structure determines the topology, this approximates $a \otimes b$ in \mathcal{H} , which proves (1).

Recalling that $\partial(a) = a \otimes \mathbf{1}$ we see that $\mathcal{H}_n \cap P\mathcal{H} = \{f \otimes \mathbf{1} : f \text{ is } n\text{-harmonic}\}$. This and the density of $\bigcup_n \mathcal{H}_n$ proves (2).

We use this characterization of $\mathcal{H}_n \cap P\mathcal{H}$ in proving (3). Applying the Gauss-Green formula for harmonic functions (see [Ki03, Theorem 6.8]) on each n -cell separately we find that $\mathcal{E}(u, \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha)$ for $u \in \mathcal{F}$ is equal to $\sum_{x \in V_n} u(x) \sum_{\alpha: X_\alpha \ni x} d_n h_\alpha$. This vanishes for all u if and only if the normal derivatives sum to zero at each $x \in V_n$, and therefore

$$\mathcal{H}_n \cap P^\perp\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha : \begin{array}{l} \text{the } h_\alpha \text{ are } n\text{-harmonic and the values of the} \\ \text{normal derivatives } d_n h_\alpha \text{ sum to zero at every vertex in } V_n, \end{array} \right\},$$

so that (3) follows from (1).

As a consequence we see $\mathcal{H}_n = (\mathcal{H}_n \cap P^\perp\mathcal{H}) \oplus (\mathcal{H}_n \cap P\mathcal{H})$ by computing the involved dimensions. Indeed the left hand side has dimension $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} (|V_\alpha| - 1)$, and in the right hand side the dimensions are

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} (|V_\alpha| - 1) - |V_n| + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |V_n| - 1$$

respectively. In this computation we use that the dimension of the space of harmonic functions on a cell is equal to the number of vertices and subtract 1 because we are in the harmonic functions modulo constants. \square

Corollary 4.7. *$P\mathcal{H}_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$, $P^\perp\mathcal{H}_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ and $\mathcal{H}_n = P\mathcal{H}_n \oplus P^\perp\mathcal{H}_n$.*

Remark 4.8. Note that $P\mathcal{H}_n$ can be identified with the space of n -harmonic functions and $P^\perp\mathcal{H}_n$ can be identified with the space of n -harmonic 1-forms. Thus we have proved an **analog of the Hodge theorem**: n -harmonic 1-forms are dense in $P^\perp\mathcal{H}$, which is the space of 1-forms.

It is important when making computations on \mathcal{H}_n using the preceding theorem and Lemma 4.5 that one remember that the continuity of elements of $P\mathcal{H}$ means continuity modulo piecewise constant functions. In other words, an element of $P\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_n$ should be thought of as a set of harmonic functions g_α on X_α with the compatibility condition that there are constants c_α such that the function $g = g_\alpha + c_\alpha$ on X_α is n -harmonic (hence continuous) on X . In particular, if the union of the cells X_α , $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is topologically trivial (i.e. has no loops) then it is easy to see such constants can be chosen for any set $\{g_\alpha\}$, so that $P\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}_n$ and $P^\perp\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_n = \{0\}$. Conversely, if $\cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} X_\alpha$ contains loops then we may write one as $X_{\alpha_0}, \dots, X_{\alpha_k} = X_{\alpha_0}$ where $X_{\alpha_j} \cap X_{\alpha_{j+1}} = x_j$ for each j , with cyclic notation mod k . For each j let g_{α_j} be the harmonic function on X_{α_j} with inward normal derivative -1 at x_{j-1} , inward normal derivative $+1$ at x_j and all other inward normal derivatives at the points of V_{α_j} equal to zero. Taking all other g_α , $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$ to be zero, let h be the corresponding element of \mathcal{H}_n from Lemma 4.5. It is apparent that the normal derivatives sum to zero at each intersection point of cells of the loop and vanish at all other vertices of V_n , so $h \in P^\perp\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_n$. We have proved the following.

Lemma 4.9. $P\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}_n$ and $P^\perp\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_n = \{0\}$ if and only if $\cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} X_\alpha$ is topologically trivial, i.e. is a tree.

The description of $P\mathcal{H}_n$ and $P^\perp\mathcal{H}_n$ in Theorem 4.6 permits us to make a precise statement of how an element of \mathcal{H} may be decomposed according to both scale and the projections P and P^\perp .

Definition 4.10. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$ let J_α^D denote the subspace of $P\mathcal{H}_n$ corresponding to $(n+1)$ -harmonic functions which are non-zero only at those points of $V_{n+1} \setminus V_n$ that are in X_α . The superscript D denotes Dirichlet because on X_α such a function is piecewise harmonic with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Similarly let J_α^N denote those elements of $P^\perp\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ for which the normal derivatives are non-zero only at points of $V_{n+1} \setminus V_n$ that are in X_α . The superscript N denotes Neumann.

Theorem 4.11. We have the decompositions

$$(4.2) \quad P\mathcal{H} = \text{Cl} \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} J_\alpha^D \right) \right)$$

$$(4.3) \quad P^\perp\mathcal{H} = \text{Cl} \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} J_\alpha^N \right) \right)$$

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_n = \bigoplus_{m=0}^n \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m} J_\alpha^D \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m} J_\alpha^N \right)$$

so that $v \in \mathcal{H}$ may be expressed uniquely as

$$v = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} v_\alpha^D + v_\alpha^N$$

with v_α^D represented by a continuous $(n+1)$ -harmonic function supported on X_α and vanishing at the boundary of X_α , and v_α^N a 1-form at scale $n+1$ supported on X_α and with vanishing normal derivatives at the boundary of X_α .

Proof. We know that the n -harmonic functions are a subspace of the $(n+1)$ -harmonic functions. It follows that $P\mathcal{H}_n \subset P\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$. Moreover the Gauss-Green formula shows that a function in $P\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ is orthogonal to $P\mathcal{H}_n$ if and only if it vanishes on V_n (modulo constant functions at scale n). For $x \in V_{n+1} \setminus V_n$ let ϕ_{n+1}^x denote the $(n+1)$ -harmonic function equal to 1 at x and zero at all other points of V_{n+1} . It is clear that $\{\phi_{n+1}^x : x \in V_{n+1} \setminus V_n\}$ spans the orthogonal complement of $P\mathcal{H}_n$ in $P\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ (modulo scale n constant functions), and that ϕ_{n+1}^x is orthogonal to ϕ_{n+1}^y whenever x and y are in distinct n -cells. Now J_α^D is spanned by the ϕ_{n+1}^x , $x \in X_\alpha \cap (V_{n+1} \setminus V_n)$ so we have the direct sum decomposition

$$P\mathcal{H}_{n+1} = P\mathcal{H}_n \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} J_\alpha^D \right) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^n \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m} J_\alpha^D \right)$$

and thus (4.2) follows from Theorem 4.6(2).

It is also apparent from the characterization of harmonic 1-forms at scale n in Theorem 4.6 that $P^\perp \mathcal{H}_n \subset P^\perp \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$. We wish to decompose the orthogonal complement of $P^\perp \mathcal{H}_n$ in $P^\perp \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ according to cells. It is easy to check using the Gauss-Green formula that an element of $P^\perp \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ with vanishing normal derivatives at all points of V_n is orthogonal to $P^\perp \mathcal{H}_n$. The space of such functions has dimension

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}} |V_\beta| - 1 \right) - \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} |V_\alpha| - 1 \right) - |V_{n+1} \setminus V_n| \\ &= \left(\left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}} |V_\beta| - 1 \right) - |V_{n+1}| + 1 \right) - \left(\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} |V_\alpha| - 1 \right) - |V_n| + 1 \right) \\ &= \dim(P^\perp \mathcal{H}_{n+1}) - \dim(P^\perp \mathcal{H}_n) \end{aligned}$$

so it is the orthogonal complement. Essentially the same Gauss-Green computation shows that J_α^N and $J_{\alpha'}^N$ are orthogonal if $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ are in \mathcal{A}_n , and it is evident that the sum of these spaces is the desired orthogonal complement, so we have

$$P^\perp \mathcal{H}_{n+1} = P^\perp \mathcal{H}_n \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} J_\alpha^N \right) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^n \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m} J_\alpha^N \right)$$

from which we obtain (4.3). □

Remark 4.12. *Spaces on cells that satisfy Dirichlet or Neumann conditions occur frequently in the theory. Comparability of eigenvalues in spaces of this type were used by Kigami and Lapidus to prove a Weyl estimate for the counting function of eigenvalues [KiLa] on p.c.f. sets. The decomposition in this theorem is similar to a wavelet decomposition, and the P -part of it is reminiscent both of the Green's kernel construction of Kigami [Ki03] and its generalization to the Laplacian resolvent in [IPRRS, Theorem 1.9]. The latter can also be used to obtain estimates for the Laplacian resolvent [Rog], and a similar idea is used to identify Calderón-Zygmund-type operators on affine nested fractals [IR].*

Fredholm Modules and summability. We recall the notion of a Fredholm module from Section 2. Our goal here is to show how these modules and their summability may be analyzed using n -harmonic functions. From Theorem 2.7 we know left and right multiplication by an element of \mathcal{B} are the same operation. For this reason the following results refer simply to the operator of multiplication. The first is a key step that follows from the decomposition in Theorem 4.11.

Lemma 4.13 (Localization in \mathcal{H}). *If $v \in \mathcal{H}_n^\perp$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$, then the module action of right multiplication by $\mathbb{1}_\alpha$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} P(v\mathbb{1}_\alpha) &= (Pv)\mathbb{1}_\alpha \\ P^\perp(v\mathbb{1}_\alpha) &= (P^\perp v)\mathbb{1}_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $v \in \mathcal{H}_n^\perp$, Theorem 4.11 provides that it may be written uniquely in the form $\sum_{m=n+1}^\infty \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_m} (v_\beta^D + v_\beta^N)$. Multiplication by $\mathbb{1}_\alpha$ has the effect of killing all terms except those for which $X_\beta \subset X_\alpha$, so

$$v\mathbb{1}_\alpha = \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty \sum_{\{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_m: X_\beta \subset X_\alpha\}} (v_\beta^D + v_\beta^N).$$

Then $P(v\mathbb{1}_\alpha)$ is the same sum but with only the v_β^D terms and $P^\perp(v\mathbb{1}_\alpha)$ is the sum with only the v_β^N terms.

At the same time, $Pv = \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_m} v_\beta^D$ and $P^\perp v = \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_m} v_\beta^N$. Multiplication by $\mathbb{1}_\alpha$ again kills all terms but those for which $X_\beta \subset X_\alpha$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Pv)\mathbb{1}_\alpha &= \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty \sum_{\{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_m: X_\beta \subset X_\alpha\}} v_\beta^D = P(v\mathbb{1}_\alpha) \\ (P^\perp v)\mathbb{1}_\alpha &= \sum_{m=n+1}^\infty \sum_{\{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_m: X_\beta \subset X_\alpha\}} v_\beta^N = P^\perp(v\mathbb{1}_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Theorem 4.14. *The commutator $[P - P^\perp, a]$ of $P - P^\perp$ with the operator of multiplication by a continuous function a is a compact operator.*

Proof. Suppose first that that a is a simple function that is constant on n -cells, $a = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} a_\alpha \mathbb{1}_\alpha$. Then $[P - P^\perp, a] = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} a_\alpha [P - P^\perp, \mathbb{1}_\alpha]$. According to Corollary 4.13 the kernel of $[P - P^\perp, \mathbb{1}_\alpha]$ contains \mathcal{H}_n^\perp , so this operator has finite dimensional co-kernel and image. However we can approximate the continuous a uniformly by simple functions a_n that are constant on n -cells. In view of the fact that P and P^\perp are norm contractive and multiplication has operator norm bounded by the supremum of the multiplier from (2.6), we find that $\|[P - P^\perp, (a - a_n)]\|_{\text{op}} \leq 4\|a - a_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, and hence $[P - P^\perp, a]$ is norm approximated by operators with finite dimensional image. □

Corollary 4.15. *If $F = P - P^\perp$ then (\mathcal{H}, F) is a Fredholm module.*

At this juncture we pause to note a consequence of our work in the previous section. From the preceding and from Lemma 4.9 we have the following refinement and generalization of [CS, Proposition 4.2]. The result stated in [CS] for p.c.f. fractals omitted the distinction between trees and non-trees; in particular, [CS, Proposition 4.2] does not hold for the unit interval $[0, 1]$, which is a p.c.f. self-similar set.

Theorem 4.16 (Non triviality of Fredholm modules for finitely ramified cell structures). *The Fredholm module (\mathcal{H}, F) is non trivial, and $P^\perp \mathcal{H} \neq 0$, if and only if X is not a tree.*

One of the most useful aspects of the theory we have given so far is that our computations are valid for any finitely ramified cell structure. In particular we have the flexibility to repartition a given finitely ramified cell structure to obtain a new cell structure with properties that are desirable for a specific problem. This allows us to see how p -summability of the Fredholm module is connected to metric dimension properties of the set.

Theorem 4.17. *Let X be a finitely ramified cell structure supporting a Dirichlet form for which n -harmonic functions are continuous. Re-partition X , if necessary, so there is $C > 0$ such that the resistance diameter of any n -cell is bounded below by $C^{-1}e^{-n}$ and above by Ce^{-n} . Suppose that there is a bound L , independent of n , on the number of points in V_{n+1} that are contained in any n -cell. Suppose $S > 1$ is the upper Minkowski dimension of X in the resistance metric. Then (\mathcal{H}, F) is densely p -summable for all $\frac{2S}{S+1} \leq p < 2$.*

Proof. Recall for $p < 2$ that the p -sum of the singular values $\sum_m s_m^p(T)$ of T is dominated by $\sum_k \|T\xi_k\|_2^p$ for any ON basis $\{\xi_k\}$ of \mathcal{H} . We use the basis from Theorem 4.11.

If $\epsilon > 0$ there is K_ϵ such that the number of n -cells is bounded above by $K_\epsilon e^{(S+\epsilon)n}$ because S is the upper Minkowski dimension. The bound L on the number of points of V_{n+1} in any n -cell implies the dimension of the space $\mathcal{J}_\alpha = \mathcal{J}_\alpha^D \oplus \mathcal{J}_\alpha^N$ is bounded by $2L$. Fix $a \in \mathcal{F}$. Let a_α be the average of a on X_α , and recall from the proof of Lemma 4.13 that the commutator $[F, a] = [F, a\mathbb{1}_\alpha] = [f, (a - a_\alpha)\mathbb{1}_\alpha]$ on \mathcal{J}_α . This has operator norm bounded by $4 \text{Osc}_\alpha(a) = 4\|(a - a_\alpha)\mathbb{1}_\alpha\|_\infty$. Choosing the ON basis $\{\xi_k\}$ for \mathcal{H} to run through bases of each \mathcal{J}_α , each of which has dimension at most $2L$, then provides

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_k \|[F, a]\xi_k\|_2^p = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\mathcal{J}_\alpha} \|[F, a]\xi_k\|_2^p \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} 8L \text{Osc}_\alpha(a)^p.$$

However it is almost immediate from the definition of resistance metric, (RF4) in 2.11, that $|a(x) - a(y)|^2 \leq \mathcal{E}_\alpha(a)R(x, y)$ for $x, y \in X_\alpha$, hence $\text{Osc}_\alpha(a)^2 \leq C\mathcal{E}_\alpha(a)e^{-n}$ if $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n$, i.e. X_α has scale n . We therefore find from Hölders inequality that for any

$\delta \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \|[F, a]\xi_k\|_2^p &\leq 8LC^{p/2} \sum_n \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} \mathcal{E}_\alpha(a)^{p/2} e^{-np/2} \\ &\leq 8LC^{p/2} \left(\sum_n e^{-n\delta} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} \mathcal{E}_\alpha(a) \right)^{p/2} \left(\sum_n \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_n} e^{-np(1-\delta)/(2-p)} \right)^{(2-p)/2} \\ &\leq 8LC^{p/2} \mathcal{E}(a)^{p/2} \left(\sum_n e^{-n\delta} \right)^{p/2} \left(K_\epsilon \sum_n e^{n(S+\epsilon)} e^{-np(1-\delta)/(2-p)} \right)^{(2-p)/2} \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_\alpha(a) = \mathcal{E}(a)$ and our bound on the number of n -cells. Taking δ and ϵ sufficiently small it follows that $[F, a]$ is p -summable whenever $p/(2-p) > S$, hence when $p > 2S/(S+1)$. Hence for any such p the algebra of $a \in C(X)$ such that $[F, a]$ is p -summable contains \mathcal{F} and is thus dense in $C(X)$ in the uniform norm. \square

Corollary 4.18. *Under the stronger hypothesis that the number of n -cells is bounded above by Ce^{Sn} and the number of points in V_n is bounded below by $C^{-1}e^{Sn}$ then also $\|[F, a]\|^{2S/(S+1)}$ is weakly 1-summable for all $a \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. With this assumption we may verify the inequality in the proof of the theorem with $\delta = \epsilon = 0$ and $p = 2S/(S+1)$, but summing only over $n \leq N$. The result is that the right side is bounded by $4L(C\mathcal{E}(a))^{S/(S+1)}N$. However the dimension of the subspace of \mathcal{H} over which we have summed is bounded below by the number of points in $\cup_{n \leq N} V_n$, so is at least $K^{-1}e^{SN}$. It follows that $[F, a]^{2S/(S+1)}$ is weakly 1-summable. \square

There are a number of ways in which to construct an finitely ramified cell structure that satisfies the assumptions of the above results. The most standard is to consider a p.c.f. set.

Corollary 4.19. *If X is a p.c.f. self-similar set with regular Dirichlet form and $d_S < 2$ is its spectral dimension in the sense of Kigami-Lapidus [KiLa] then (\mathcal{H}, F) is a p -summable Fredholm module for all $p > d_S$ and $\|[F, a]\|^{d_S}$ is weakly 1-summable.*

Proof. By Kigami-Lapidus [KiLa] X has Hausdorff and Minkowski dimension S and finite non-zero Hausdorff measure. The spectral dimension satisfies $d_S = \frac{2S}{S+1}$. Thus the number of cells of resistance diameter comparable to e^{-n} is bounded above and below by multiples of e^{nS} and so is the number of points in V_n . \square

As in Corollary 3.4 the weak 1-summability condition implies that any Dixmier trace $\tau_w(\|[F, a]\|^{d_S})$ is bounded, and in the self-similar case this is a conformal invariant.

Summability of $[F, a]$ below the spectral dimension. An advantage of the proof of Theorem 4.17 over that for Corollary 3.2 is that it suggests how we might determine whether the condition $p > d_S = \frac{2S}{S+1}$ is necessary for p -summability. Specifically, we saw that p -summability can be derived from information about the oscillation of a on n -cells as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let us now restrict ourselves to considering the case where X is a p.c.f. self-similar set with regular harmonic structure and the (probability) measure μ on X gives each m -cell measure μ^m . In this setting the oscillation of an harmonic

(or n -harmonic) function a is known [BST] to be determined by the Furstenberg-Kesten theory for random matrix products [Fur]. We recall some basic features of this description.

If h is harmonic on X then it is completely determined by its values on V_0 . We fix an order on points in V_0 and a basis for the harmonic functions in which the k^{th} harmonic function is 1 at the k^{th} point of V_0 and 0 at the other points, and we identify h with the vector in this basis. To each of the maps F_j which determine X as a self-similar structure Definition 3.5 the map taking the values of h on V_0 to those on $F_j(V_0)$ is linear and may be written as a matrix A_j in our basis. Evidently the values on the boundary $F_\alpha(V_0)$ of the cell corresponding to the word $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m \in \mathcal{A}_m$ are $A_\alpha = \prod_{l=1}^m A_{\alpha_{m+1-l}} h$. However we wish to study the oscillation, so must remove the influence of the constant functions. Conveniently, the constants are eigenfunctions of all A_j with eigenvalue 1. We factor them out and write \tilde{A}_j for the resulting linear maps on the quotient space. From the maximum principle it is then apparent that for a harmonic function h the oscillation on the cell X_α is $\text{Osc}_\alpha(h) = 2\|\tilde{A}_\alpha h\|$, where we are using the L^∞ norm on the finite dimensional vector space of harmonic functions (i.e. the maximum of the absolute value of the boundary values). Denoting the matrix entries of A by $A(i, j)$ we define a matrix norm by $\|A\| = \max_i \sum_j |A(i, j)|$ and conclude

$$\text{Osc}_\alpha(h) \leq 2\|\tilde{A}_\alpha\| \|h\|.$$

The same is true for n -harmonic functions if we replace \tilde{A} with the product $\prod_{l=m-n}^1 A_{\alpha_{m+1-l}}$ and the function h with $h \circ F_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}$.

The quantity $\|\tilde{A}_\alpha\|$ may be understood using results about products of random matrices. To correspond with the standard terminology in the area we view (X, μ) as a probability space. We define an i.i.d. sequence of matrix-valued random variables B_m by setting $B_m = A_j$ on those m -cells X_α such that the m^{th} letter $\alpha_m = j$ (this definition is valid a.e. as the cells overlap at finitely many points). We then let $S_m = \prod_{l=1}^m B_{m+1-l}$ so that a.e. $S_m = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_m} A_\alpha \mathbf{1}_\alpha$. This assigns to each m -cell the matrix product whose magnitude bounds the corresponding oscillation. By virtue of (4.5) it is apparent we should study the p^{th} moments of S_m . Note that existence of a bound L on the number of points of $V_{n+1} \setminus V_n$ in any n -cell is immediate in the p.c.f.s.s case, so that for our harmonic function h

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_k \|[F, h]\xi_k\|_2^p \leq 8L \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Osc}_\alpha(h)^p$$

$$(4.7) \quad \leq 2^p 8L \|h\|^p \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \|\tilde{A}_\alpha\|^p$$

$$(4.8) \quad = 2^p 8L \|h\|^p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mu^{-m} \int_X \|S_m\|^p d\mu$$

The convergence or divergence of this series is readily determined from the behavior of the pressure function $P(p)$ defined by

$$P(p) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log \int_X \|S_m\|^p d\mu = \inf_m \frac{1}{m} \log \int_X \|S_m\|^p d\mu.$$

A great deal is known about the behavior of this function. It is a matrix-valued analogue of the classical pressure function, and has some analogous properties. Note that (pointwise) existence of the limit on $(0, \infty)$ is a consequence of subadditivity, and it is convex (hence continuous) by Hölder's inequality. To avoid the possibility of confusion caused by the different matrix norms used in different papers, we mention that these are comparable (because the dimension is finite) so do not affect $P(p)$. In our setting the largest eigenvalue of the matrix A_j is the energy scaling factor $r_j < 1$ for the j^{th} cell, so that $P(p)$ is decreasing. An immediate consequence of the above estimate is

Theorem 4.20. *Suppose q is such that $P(q) = \log \mu$. If h is harmonic or piecewise harmonic then $[F, h]$ is p -summable for all $q < p < 2$.*

Remark 4.21. *Weak 1-summability of $|[F, h]|^q$ is not accessible using this bound. Indeed, we have $\int_X \|S_m\|^p d\mu \geq e^{mP(q)} = \mu^m$, so that the partial sums sum on the right of (4.8) satisfy*

$$\sum_{m=0}^M \mu^{-m} \int_X \|S_m\|^q d\mu \geq M$$

and hence cannot be used to show that $\sum_{k \leq M} \|[F, h]\xi_k\|_2^q$ is bounded by a multiple of $\log M$. We do not know whether $|[F, h]|^q$ is weakly 1-summable, or whether there is a Dixmier trace for $|[F, h]|^q$.

It is not hard to determine that $q \leq d_S$ (the spectral dimension), however if we have some information about the harmonic extension matrices A_j then we can show this inequality is strict.

Definition 4.22. *The semigroup $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is contracting if it contains a sequence A_{α_k} such that $\|A_{\alpha_k}\|^{-1} A_{\alpha_k}$ converges to a rank 1 matrix. It is irreducible if there is no proper non-trivial subspace preserved by all A_j (and hence by the semigroup). It is strongly irreducible if there is no finite collection of proper non-trivial subspaces whose union is preserved. It is proximal if the elements have distinct (non-repeated) singular values. For more about these definitions, see [BoLa, Chapter III] and [GuLe, page 197].*

Theorem 4.23 (Theorem 8.8 of Guivarc'h and Le Page [GuLe]). *If the harmonic matrices are invertible, and the semigroup they generate is strongly irreducible, proximal and contracting, then P is real analytic on $(0, q)$, and the right derivative at 0 is the Lyapunov exponent $\lim_m m^{-1} \int_X \log \|S_m\| d\mu$.*

Note that the conditions of the theorem in [GuLe] include finiteness of two integrals; for us these conditions are trivially satisfied because we have finitely many bounded invertible matrices.

For many fractals with regular harmonic structure it follows from this theorem that the critical exponent for summability of $[F, h]$ is strictly less than the spectral dimension. This is most evident in the case where the resistance scaling has the same value r for all cells (such as occurs for the symmetric harmonic structure on Lindström's nested fractals [Lind]).

Theorem 4.24. *Let X be a p.c.f.s.s. fractal with regular harmonic structure having all resistance scalings equal r and all measure scalings equal μ . Suppose the harmonic extension matrices satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.23 and there is a harmonic function such that $\|S_m h\|$ is non-constant. Then there is $q < d_S$ (the spectral dimension) such that if h is a harmonic or piecewise harmonic function then $[F, h]$ is p -summable for all $p > q$.*

Proof. We adapt an argument from [BST]. Using S_m^* to denote the adjoint we observe in this situation that self-similarity of the Dirichlet form says exactly $\mathcal{E}(h) = r^{-1} \sum_j h^* \tilde{A}_j^* \tilde{A}_j h$ for any harmonic h . Thus $\sum_j \tilde{A}_j^* \tilde{A}_j = rI$ and $\int_X \sum_j S_m^* S_m d\mu = (r\mu)^m I$ for all m . Hence $P(2) = \log r\mu$.

Now observe that if $S_m h$ is non-constant then then strict inequality holds in Jensen's inequality as follows:

$$\frac{1}{m} \int_X \log \|S_m h\|^2 d\mu < \log \int_X \langle h, S_m^* S_m h \rangle d\mu = \log r\mu.$$

The Lyapunov exponent $P'(0) = \inf_m m^{-1} \int_X \log \|S_m h\|^2 d\mu$ by subadditivity, so this computation shows $2P'(0) < P(2)$. From Theorem 4.23 we know $P(p)$ is analytic; since $P(0) = 0$ and $2P'(0) < P(2)$ we conclude that P is strictly convex.

As in Theorem 4.20 let q be such that $P(q) = \log \mu$, so $P(p) < \log \mu$ when $p > q$ and hence any $[F, h]$ is p -summable for $p > q$. We know $\mu = r\mu^{d_S/2}$, so $P(q) = \frac{d_S}{2} \log r\mu = \frac{d_S}{2} P(2)$. If it were the case that $q = d_S$ then $\frac{P(d_S)}{d_S} = \frac{P(2)}{2}$ in contradiction to strict convexity. Thus $q < d_S$ and any $[F, h]$ is p -summable for $p > q$. \square

Of course the piecewise harmonic functions are not an algebra, but the algebra they generate in \mathcal{F} has the same tracial summability properties as in the preceding theorem. We can see this using the fact that $\text{Osc}_\alpha(gh) \leq \|g\|_\infty \text{Osc}_\alpha(h) + \|h\|_\infty \text{Osc}_\alpha(g)$; p -summability of the product then follows from p -summability of the individual terms and (4.5). This implies the following, which improves upon Theorem 3.8 of [CS].

Corollary 4.25. *Under the conditions of Theorem 4.24, the Fredholm module (\mathcal{H}, F) is densely p -summable for all $p > q$.*

The situation in Theorem 4.24 should be contrasted with that for the unit interval, which is the only Euclidean space having p.c.f.s.s. structure. In the case of the interval $\mu = r = \frac{1}{2}$ and harmonic means linear, so that $\|S_m h\|$ is a constant multiple of 2^{-m} for each m . Then the pressure function is linear and the critical exponent for summability of the trace of $|[F, h]|^p$ is $P'(0) = d_S = 1$. We believe that this is essentially the only circumstance under which this critical exponent coincides with d_S .

APPENDIX A. CALCULATION OF PROJECTIONS

Alternative proofs of some of our results may be given using the fact that the projection operators P and P^\perp may be computed using the resistances of electrical network theory. This also offers a way of computing these operators explicitly.

Let $p \in V_n$ and h be the n -harmonic function with $h_p = 1$ and $h(q) = 0$ on $V_n \setminus \{p\}$. If X_α is an n -cell containing p then $h \otimes \mathbb{1}_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_n$ is discontinuous only at p . Now define

a graph in which p is replaced by two points p_{in} and p_{out} , with p_{in} connected to points of V_α and p_{out} connected to the other points of V_n . More precisely, define a set of vertices $V'(p, \alpha) = \{p_{\text{in}}, p_{\text{out}}\} \cup V_n \setminus \{p\}$. The restriction of \mathcal{E} to V_n gives us resistances r_{xy} for all pairs $x, y \in V_n$. Now on $V'(p, \alpha)$ let $r'_{xy} = r_{xy}$ if $x, y \neq p$, let $r_{xp_{\text{in}}} = r_{xp}$ if $x \in V_\alpha$ and zero if $x \notin V_\alpha$ and let $r_{xp_{\text{out}}} = r_{xp}$ if $x \notin V_\alpha$ and zero if $x \in V_\alpha$. Then there is a unique harmonic function $\eta_{p, \alpha}$ on $V'(p, \alpha)$ that is equal 1 at p_{in} and 0 at p_{out} . It has Neumann conditions at V_0 . Observe that $\eta_{p, \alpha}$ may be interpreted as an element of \mathcal{H}_n by Lemma 4.5. Explicitly it is

$$\eta_{p, \alpha} \Big|_{X_\alpha} \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha + \eta_{p, \alpha} \Big|_{X \setminus X_\alpha} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_X - \mathbf{1}_\alpha).$$

This is continuous everywhere except at p , it is harmonic away from p so its normal derivatives sum to zero at all other points of V_n , including those in V_0 because it has Neumann boundary conditions. It is then clear that $h \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha - \eta_{p, \alpha}$ is n -harmonic and continuous, so is in $P\mathcal{H}_n$. Hence $\eta_{p, \alpha} = P^\perp h \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha$. Now let $u = \sum_\alpha h_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}_\alpha$ be any element of \mathcal{H}_n . The above gives

$$P^\perp u = \sum_\alpha \sum_{p \in V_\alpha} h_\alpha(p) \eta_{p, \alpha}$$

and of course $Pu = u = P^\perp u$.

REFERENCES

- [ADT] E. Akkermans, G. Dunne, A. Teplyaev *Physical Consequences of Complex Dimensions of Fractals*, Europhys. Lett. **88**, 40007 (2009).
- [Ati] M. Atiyah, *Global theory of elliptic operators*, Proc. Internat. Conf. on Functional Analysis and Related Topics (Tokyo, 1969), 21–30, Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1970.
- [BB] M. Barlow, R. Bass, *Transition densities for Brownian motion on the Sierpinski carpet*. Probab. Theory Related Fields **91** (1992), 307330.
- [BB] M. Barlow, R. Bass, *Brownian motion and harmonic analysis on Sierpinski carpets*. Canad. J. Math. **51** (1999), 673744.
- [BST] O. Ben-Bassat, R. Strichartz, A. Teplyaev, *What is not in the domain of the Laplacian on Sierpinski gasket type fractals.*, J. Funct. Anal. **166** (1999), 197–217.
- [BoLa] P. Bougerol, J. Lacroix, *Products of random matrices with applications to Schrödinger operators.*, Progress in Probability and Statistics, 8. Birkhäuser Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.
- [BouleauHirsch] N. Bouleau and F. Hirsch, *Dirichlet forms and analysis on Wiener space*. de Gruyter Studies in Math. **14**, 1991.
- [CRSS] A. Carey, A. Rennie, A. Sedaev, F. Sukochev, *The Dixmier trace and asymptotics of zeta functions.*, J. Funct. Anal. **249** (2007), 253283.
- [CKS] E. Carlen, S. Kusuoka, D. Stroock, *Upper bounds for symmetric Markov transition functions.*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **23** (1987), 245287.
- [Car] G. Carron, *Inégalités isopérimétriques et inégalités de Faber-Krahn.*, Sémin. Théor. Spectr. Géom., 13, Univ. Grenoble I, Saint-Martin-d’Hères, 1995.
- [CS02] F. Cipriani and J.-L. Sauvageot, *Strong solutions to the Dirichlet problem for differential forms: a quantum dynamical semigroup approach*, Advances in quantum dynamics (South Hadley, MA, 2002), 109–117, Contemp. Math., **335**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [CS03gfa] F. Cipriani and J.-L. Sauvageot, *Noncommutative potential theory and the sign of the curvature operator in Riemannian geometry*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), 521–545.
- [CS03jfa] F. Cipriani and J.-L. Sauvageot, *Derivations as square roots of Dirichlet forms*, J. Funct. Anal. **201** (2003), 78–120.

- [CS] F. Cipriani and J.-L. Sauvageot, *Fredholm modules on P.C.F. self-similar fractals and their conformal geometry*. Comm. Math. Phys. **286** (2009), 541–558.
- [Connes] A. Connes, Alain, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [Dix] J. Dixmier, *Existence de traces non normales*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B, **262** (1966), A1107–A1108.
- [AGA08] P. Exner, J.P. Keating, P. Kuchment, T. Sunada, and A. Teplyaev (editors), *Analysis on Graphs and Its Applications*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., AMS, **77** (2008).
- [FOT] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takada, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*. deGruyter Studies in Math. **19**, 1994.
- [Fur] H. Furstenberg, *Noncommuting random products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 377–428.
- [Grig] A. Grigor'yan, *Heat kernel upper bounds on a complete non-compact manifold.*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **10** (1994), 395452.
- [GK08] A. Grigor'yan and T. Kumagai, *On the dichotomy in the heat kernel two sided estimates*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **77** (2008), 199–210.
- [GuLe] Y. Guivarc'h, E. Le Page, *Simplicité de spectres de Lyapounov et propriété d'isolation spectrale pour une famille d'opérateurs de transfert sur l'espace projectif.*, Random walks and geometry, 181259, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004.
- [Ham96] B. Hambly, *Brownian motion on a homogeneous random fractal.*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **94** (1992), 138.
- [HaNy] B. Hambly, S. Nyberg, *Finitely ramified graph-directed fractals, spectral asymptotics and the multidimensional renewal theorem.*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **46** (2003), 134.
- [IR] M. Ionescu and L. G. Rogers, *Complex Powers of the Laplacian on Affine Nested Fractals as Calderón-Zygmund operators*, arXiv:1002.2011
- [IPRRS] M. Ionescu, E. P. J. Pearse, L. G. Rogers, H.-J. Ruan and R. S. Strichartz *The resolvent kernel for PCF self-similar fractals*, arXiv:0811.4203
- [Ki93pcf] J. Kigami, *Harmonic calculus on p.c.f. self-similar sets*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **335** (1993), 721–755.
- [Ki93h] J. Kigami, *Harmonic metric and Dirichlet form on the Sierpiński gasket*. Asymptotic problems in probability theory: stochastic models and diffusions on fractals (Sanda/Kyoto, 1990), 201–218, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., **283**, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1993.
- [Ki01] J. Kigami, *Analysis on fractals*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **143**, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [Ki03] J. Kigami, *Harmonic analysis for resistance forms*. J. Functional Analysis **204** (2003), 399–444.
- [KiLa] J. Kigami, M. Lapidus, *Weyl's problem for the spectral distribution of Laplacians on p.c.f. self-similar fractals*, Comm. Math. Phys. **158** (1993), 93–125.
- [Ko1] Ko, Chul Ki, *Decomposition of Dirichlet forms associated to unbounded Dirichlet operators*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **46** (2009), 347–358.
- [Ko2] Ko, Chul Ki, *Remarks on the decomposition of Dirichlet forms on standard forms of von Neumann algebras*. J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), 113504, 11 pp.
- [Kuchment04] P. Kuchment, *Quantum graphs I. Some basic structures*. Waves in random media, **14** (2004), S107–S128.
- [Kuchment05] P. Kuchment, *Quantum graphs II. Some spectral properties of quantum and combinatorial graphs*. J. Phys. A. **38** (2005), 4887–4900.
- [LeJan] Y. LeJan, *Mesures associées à une forme de Dirichlet. Applications*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **106** (1978), 61112.
- [Lind] T. Lindstrøm, *Brownian motion on nested fractals*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1990), no. 420,
- [Nash] J. Nash, *Continuity of solutions of parabolic and elliptic equations*, Amer. J. Math., **80** (1958), 931–954.
- [RöcknerMa] Z. Ma and M. Röckner, *Introduction to the theory of (nonsymmetric) Dirichlet forms*. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

- [Rog] L. Rogers, *Estimates for the resolvent kernel of the Laplacian on p.c.f. self similar fractals and blowups.*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., To Appear.
- [RoTe] L. Rogers and A. Teplyaev, *Laplacians on the basilica Julia set*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **9** (2010), 211–231.
- [Si05] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*. Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **120** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [St10] B. Steinhurst, *Diffusions and Laplacians on Laakso, Barlow-Evans, and other fractals*. Thesis (Ph.D.) University of Connecticut. 2010.
- [T08cjm] A. Teplyaev, *Harmonic coordinates on fractals with finitely ramified cell structure*. Canad. J. Math. **60** (2008), 457–480.

E-mail address: `ionescu@math.uconn.edu`

E-mail address: `rogers@math.uconn.edu`

E-mail address: `teplyaev@math.uconn.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CT 06269-3009