# MATH 3795 Lecture 10. Regularized Linear Least Squares.

Dmitriy Leykekhman

Fall 2008

### Goals

Understanding the regularization.

### Review.

Consider the linear least square problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Ax - b\|_2^2.$$

From the last lecture:

• Let  $A = U\Sigma V^T$  be the Singular Value Decomposition of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with singular values

$$\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1} = \cdots = \sigma_{\min\{m,n\}} = 0$$

The minimum norm solution is

$$x_{\dagger} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{u_i^T b}{\sigma_i} v_i$$

If even one singular value σ<sub>i</sub> is small, then small perturbations in b can lead to large errors in the solution.

If σ<sub>1</sub>/σ<sub>r</sub> ≫ 1, then it might be useful to consider the regularized linear least squares problem (Tikhonov regularization)

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x\|_2^2.$$

Here  $\lambda > 0$  is the *regularization parameter*.

- ► The regularization parameter λ > 0 is not known *a-priori* and has to be determined based on the problem data. See later.
- Observe that

$$\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x\|_{2}^{2} = \min_{x} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \sqrt{\lambda}I \end{pmatrix} x - \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

0

Thus

$$\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x\|_{2}^{2} = \min_{x} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \sqrt{\lambda}I \end{pmatrix} x - \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2}^{2}.$$
 (1)

• For  $\lambda > 0$  the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}A\\\sqrt{\lambda}I\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+n) \times n}$$

has always full rank n. Hence, for  $\lambda > 0$ , the regularized linear least squares problem (1) has a unique solution.

▶ The normal equation corresponding to (1) are given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}A\\\sqrt{\lambda}I\end{array}\right)^T\left(\begin{array}{c}A\\\sqrt{\lambda}I\end{array}\right)x = (A^TA + \lambda I)x = A^Tb = \left(\begin{array}{c}A\\\sqrt{\lambda}I\end{array}\right)^T\left(\begin{array}{c}b\\0\end{array}\right)^T$$

▶ SVD Decomposition:  $A = U\Sigma V^T$ , where  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are orthogonal matrices and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is a 'diagonal' matrix with diagonal entries

$$\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1} = \cdots = \sigma_{\min\{m,n\}} = 0.$$

▶ Thus the normal to (1)

$$(A^T A + \lambda I)x_{\lambda} = A^T b,$$

can be written as

$$(V\Sigma^T \underbrace{U^T U}_{=I} \Sigma V^T + \lambda \underbrace{I}_{=VV^T}) x_{\lambda} = V\Sigma^T U^T b.$$

▶ SVD Decomposition:  $A = U\Sigma V^T$ , where  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are orthogonal matrices and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is a 'diagonal' matrix with diagonal entries

$$\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1} = \cdots = \sigma_{\min\{m,n\}} = 0.$$

▶ Thus the normal to (1)

$$(A^T A + \lambda I)x_\lambda = A^T b,$$

can be written as

$$(V\Sigma^T \underbrace{U^T U}_{=I} \Sigma V^T + \lambda \underbrace{I}_{=VV^T}) x_{\lambda} = V\Sigma^T U^T b.$$

Rearranging terms we obtain

$$V(\Sigma^T \Sigma + \lambda I) V^T x_{\lambda} = V \Sigma^T U^T b,$$

multiplying both sides by  $V^T$  from the left and setting  $z=V^Tx_\lambda$  we get

$$(\Sigma^T \Sigma + \lambda I)z = \Sigma^T U^T b.$$

▶ The normal equation corresponding to (1),

$$(A^T A + \lambda I)x_\lambda = A^T b,$$

is equivalent to

$$\underbrace{\left(\Sigma^T \Sigma + \lambda I\right)}_{\text{dim}} z = \Sigma^T U^T b.$$

diagonal

where  $z = V^T x_{\lambda}$ .

Solution

$$z_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_i(u_i^T b)}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}, & i = 1, \dots, r, \\ 0, & i = r + 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Since x<sub>λ</sub> = Vz = ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> z<sub>i</sub>v<sub>i</sub>, the solution of the regularized linear least squares problem (1) is given by

$$x_{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\sigma_i(u_i^T b)}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} v_i.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} x_{\lambda} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\sigma_i(u_i^T b)}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{u_i^T b}{\sigma_i} v_i = x_{\dagger}$$

i.e., the solution of the regularized LLS problem (1) converges to the minimum norm solution of the LLS problem as  $\lambda$  goes to zero.

Note that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} x_{\lambda} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\sigma_i(u_i^T b)}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{u_i^T b}{\sigma_i} v_i = x_{\dagger}$$

i.e., the solution of the regularized LLS problem (1) converges to the minimum norm solution of the LLS problem as  $\lambda$  goes to zero.

The representation

$$x_{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\sigma_i(u_i^T b)}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} v_i$$

of the solution of the regularized LLS also reveals the regularizing property of adding the term  $\frac{\lambda}{2} ||x||_2^2$  to the (ordinary) least squares functional. We have that

$$\frac{\sigma_i(u_i^T b)}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \approx \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \approx \sigma_i \ll \lambda \\ \frac{u_i^T b}{\sigma_i}, & \text{if } \sigma_i \gg \lambda. \end{cases}$$

- Hence,  $\operatorname{adding} \frac{\lambda}{2} ||x||_2^2$  to the (ordinary) least squares functional acts as a filter. Contributions from singular values which are large relative to the regularization parameter  $\lambda$  are left (almost) unchanged whereas contributions from small singular values are (almost) eliminated.
- It raises an important question:

How to choose  $\lambda$ ?

Suppose that the data are  $b = b_{ex} + \delta b$ . We want to compute the minimum norm solution of the (ordinary) LLS with unperturbed data  $b_{ex}$ 

$$x_{ex} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{u_i^T b}{\sigma_i} v_i$$

but we can only compute with  $b = b_{ex} + \delta b$ , we don't know  $b_{ex}$ .

The solution of the regularized least squares problem is

$$x_{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{\sigma_i(u_i^T b_{ex})}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} + \frac{\sigma_i(u_i^T \delta b)}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \right) v_i.$$

We observed that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\sigma_i(u_i^T b_{ex})}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \to x_{ex} \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{\sigma_i(u_i^T\delta b)}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & \text{if } 0 \approx \sigma_i \ll \lambda \\ \frac{u_i^T\delta b}{\sigma_i}, & \text{if } \sigma_i \gg \lambda, \end{array} \right.$$

which suggests to choose  $\lambda$  sufficiently large to ensure that errors  $\delta b$  in the data are not magnified by small singular values.

```
% Compute A
t = 10.(0:-1:-10);
A = [ones(size(t)) t t.^2 t.^3 t.^4 t.^5];
% compute exact data
xex = ones(6,1); bex = A*xex;
% data perturbation of 0.1%
deltab = 0.001*(0.5-rand(size(bex))).*bex;
      = bex+deltab;
b
% compute SVD of A
[U,S,V] = svd(A); sigma = diag(S);
for i = 0:7 % solve regularized LLS for different lambda
    lambda(i+1) = 10^{(-i)}
    xlambda = V * (sigma.*(U'*b) ./ (sigma.^2 + lambda(i+1)))
    err(i+1) = norm(xlambda - xex);
end
```

• The error  $||x_{ex} - x_{\lambda}||_2$  for different values of  $\lambda$  (loglog-scale):



• The error  $||x_{ex} - x_{\lambda}||_2$  for different values of  $\lambda$  (loglog-scale):



- ▶ For this example  $\lambda \approx 10^{-3}$  is a good choice for the regularization parameter  $\lambda$ . However, we could only create this figure with the knowledge of the desired solution  $x_{ex}$ .
- ▶ How can we determine a  $\lambda \ge 0$  so that  $||x_{ex} x_{\lambda}||_2$  is small without knowledge of  $x_{ex}$ .
- One approach is the **Morozov discrepancy principle**.

- Suppose  $b = b_{ex} + \delta b$ . We do not know the perturbation  $\delta b$ , but we assume that we know its size  $\|\delta b\|$ .
- Suppose the unknown desired solution  $x_{ex}$  satisfies  $Ax_{ex} = b_{ex}$ .

$$||Ax_{ex} - b|| = ||Ax_{ex} - b_{ex} - \delta b|| = ||\delta b||$$

• Since the exact solution satisfies  $||Ax_{ex} - b|| = ||\delta b||$  we want to find a regularization parameter  $\lambda \ge 0$  such that the solution  $x_{\lambda}$  of the regularized least squares problem satisfies

$$\|Ax_{\lambda} - b\| = \|\delta b\|$$

#### This is Morozov's discrepancy principle.

Let's see now this works for the previous Matlab example.

The error  $||x_{ex}-x_{\lambda}||_2$  for different values of  $\lambda$  (log-log-scale)

The residual  $||Ax_{\lambda} - b||_2$  and  $||\delta b||_2$  for different values of  $\lambda$  (log-log- scale)



 $\blacktriangleright$  Morozov's discrepancy principle: Find  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that

 $\|Ax_{\lambda} - b\| = \|\delta b\|$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  Morozov's discrepancy principle: Find  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that

 $\|Ax_{\lambda} - b\| = \|\delta b\|$ 

▶ To compute  $||Ax_{\lambda} - b||$  for given  $\lambda \ge 0$  we need to solve a regularized linear least squares problem

$$\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x\|_{2}^{2} = \min_{x} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \sqrt{\lambda}I \end{pmatrix} x - \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

to get  $x_{\lambda}$  and then we have to compute  $||Ax_{\lambda} - b||$ .

• Morozov's discrepancy principle: Find  $\lambda \ge 0$  such that

 $\|Ax_{\lambda} - b\| = \|\delta b\|$ 

▶ To compute  $||Ax_{\lambda} - b||$  for given  $\lambda \ge 0$  we need to solve a regularized linear least squares problem

$$\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x\|_{2}^{2} = \min_{x} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \sqrt{\lambda}I \end{pmatrix} x - \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

to get  $x_{\lambda}$  and then we have to compute  $||Ax_{\lambda} - b||$ . • Let  $f(\lambda) = ||Ax_{\lambda} - b|| - ||\delta b||$ . Finding  $\lambda \ge 0$  such that

$$f(\lambda) = 0$$

is a **root finding problem**. We will discuss in the future how to solve such problems. In this case f maps a scalar  $\lambda$  into a scalar  $f(\lambda) = ||Ax_{\lambda} - b|| - ||\delta b||$ , but the evaluation of f requires the solution of a regularized LLS problems and can be rather expensive.