

PART 1**Exercise 2.6**

For any  $A$ -module, let  $M[x]$  denote the set of all polynomials in  $x$  with coefficients in  $M$ , that is to say expressions of the form

$$m_0 + m_1x + \cdots + m_r x^r \quad (m_i \in M).$$

Defining the product of an element of  $A[x]$  and an element of  $M[x]$  in the obvious way, show that  $M[x]$  is an  $A[x]$ -module. Show that  $M[x] \cong A[x] \otimes_A M$ .

Observe that  $M[x]$  is an abelian group, and let  $\sum_{i=0}^k m_i x^i \in M[x]$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^l a_i x^i \in A[x]$ . Then

$$\left( \sum_{i=0}^l a_i x^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^k m_i x^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+l} \sum_{r+s=i} a_r m_s x^i$$

is an element of  $M[x]$  since for each  $i$   $\sum_{r+s=i} a_r m_s$  is in  $M$ , and furthermore this multiplication is associative and distributive since polynomial multiplication is associative and distributive. Thus  $M[x]$  has the structure of an  $A[x]$ -module.

Now we will show that  $M[x] \cong A[x] \otimes_A M$ . For

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_A A[x] &= M \otimes_A \left( \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A x^i \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (M \otimes_A A x^i) && \text{(Exercise 4, Assignment 2)} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M x^i && (A x^i \text{ is free over } A, \text{ hence flat)} \\ &= M[x]. \end{aligned}$$

PART 2

**Exercise 5.3**

Let  $f : B \rightarrow B'$  be a homomorphism of  $A$ -algebras, and let  $C$  be an  $A$ -algebra. If  $f$  is integral, prove that  $f \otimes 1 : B \otimes_A C \rightarrow B' \otimes_A C$  is integral. (This includes (5.6) ii) as a special case.)

Since  $f$  is integral, for every  $x \in B'$  there are  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$  such that

$$x^n + f(b_1)x^{n-1} + \dots + f(b_{n-1})x + f(b_n) = 0.$$

Then given any  $c \in C$ , we have (writing  $\otimes$  for  $\otimes_A$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & (x \otimes c)^n + f \otimes 1(b_1 \otimes c)(x \otimes c)^{n-1} + \dots + f \otimes 1(b_{n-1} \otimes c)(x \otimes c) + f \otimes 1(b_n \otimes c) \\ &= x^n \otimes c^n + (f(b_1) \otimes c)(x^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-1}) + \dots + (f(b_{n-1}) \otimes c)(x \otimes c^{n-1}) + f(b_n) \otimes c^n \\ &= x^n \otimes c^n + f(b_1)x^{n-1} \otimes c^n + \dots + f(b_{n-1})x \otimes c^n + f(b_n) \otimes c^n \\ &= (x^n + f(b_1)x^{n-1} + \dots + f(b_{n-1})x + f(b_n)) \otimes c^n \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f \otimes 1$  is integral.

### Exercise 5.8

- (i) Let  $A$  be a subring of an integral domain  $B$ , and let  $C$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$ . Let  $f, g$  be monic polynomials in  $B[x]$  such that  $fg \in C[x]$ . Then  $f, g$  are in  $C[x]$ . [Take a field containing  $B$  in which the polynomials  $f, g$  split into linear factors: say  $f = \prod(x - \xi_i)$ ,  $g = \prod(x - \eta_i)$ . Each  $\xi_i$  and each  $\eta_i$  is a root of  $fg$ , hence is integral over  $C$ . Hence the coefficients of  $f$  and  $g$  are integral over  $C$ .]
- (ii) Prove the same result without assuming that  $B$  (or  $A$ ) is an integral domain.

We follow the hint.

- (i) Identify  $B$  with its inclusion in its field of quotients  $k$ . Then there is a splitting field  $F$  of  $f$  and  $g$  containing  $k$ , hence containing  $B$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  have the factorizations

$$f = \prod(x - \xi_i), \quad g = \prod(x - \eta_i).$$

Since  $fg \in C[x]$  and  $C$  is the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$ , each  $\xi_i$  and each  $\eta_i$  is a root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $C$ , hence integral over  $C$ . Since the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$  (namely  $C$ ) is integrally closed in  $B$ , this means that the  $\xi_i$  and  $\eta_i$  are in  $C$ . But each coefficient of  $f$  is a sum of products of the  $\xi_i$ , and each coefficient of  $g$  is a sum of products of the  $\eta_i$ . Since  $C$  is a ring, this means each coefficient of  $f$  and each coefficient of  $g$  is an element of  $C$  also. So  $f \in C[x]$  and  $g \in C[x]$ .

- (ii) Let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be a prime ideal of  $B$  and let  $\mathfrak{a} = A \cap \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{c} = C \cap \mathfrak{b}$ . Then  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $B/\mathfrak{b}$ , and  $C/\mathfrak{c}$  are integral domains, and by Proposition 5.6,  $C/\mathfrak{c}$  is integral over  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ , hence a subring of the integral closure of  $A/\mathfrak{a}$  in  $B/\mathfrak{b}$ . Since  $f \cdot g \in C[x]$ , reducing everything mod  $\mathfrak{b}$  gives us  $\widehat{f} \cdot \widehat{g} \in (C/\mathfrak{c})[x]$ , where  $\widehat{f}$  and  $\widehat{g}$  are the reductions of  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively. Therefore by part (i) of this exercise,  $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \in D[x]$ , where  $D$  is the integral closure of  $A/\mathfrak{a}$  in  $B/\mathfrak{b}$ . We wish to show  $f, g \in C[x]$ .

To see this, observe that nothing we have said so far depends on the choice of prime ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $B$ . Thus we have proven that for *any* prime ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of

$B$ , the coefficients of  $\widehat{f}$  and those of  $\widehat{g}$  are integral over  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ . We will show that the coefficients are in fact in  $C$ . For suppose by way of contradiction that there is some  $x \in B \setminus C$  such that  $x + \mathfrak{b}$  is integral over  $A/\mathfrak{a}$  for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $B$ . Define

$$S = \{p(x) : p \in A[t] \text{ monic}\}.$$

Since  $x \notin C$ ,  $x$  is not integral over  $A$  and so  $0 \notin S$ . Since also the product of two monic polynomials over  $A$  is a monic polynomial over  $A$ ,  $S$  is a multiplicative submonoid of  $B$ . Thus by Lindenbaum's lemma,<sup>1</sup>  $B \setminus S$  is a prime ideal of  $B$ ; choose this as our prime ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Then using the same notation as before,  $x + \mathfrak{b}$  is integral over  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ . Then there is a monic polynomial over  $A/\mathfrak{a}$  with  $x + \mathfrak{b}$  as a root. But this is just to say that there is a monic polynomial over  $A$  which on  $x$  takes on a value in  $\mathfrak{b} = B \setminus S$ , which contradicts the definition of  $S$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>I am unsure of the name of this result, which is given as a parenthetical note in Example 1 on p.38 of the textbook. The name "Lindenbaum's lemma" is given to a family of similar facts in logic.

### Exercise 5.9

Let  $A$  be a subring of  $B$  and let  $C$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $B$ . Prove that  $C[x]$  is the integral closure of  $A[x]$  in  $B[x]$ . [If  $f \in B[x]$  is integral over  $A[x]$ , then

$$f^m + g_1 f^{m-1} + \cdots + g_m = 0 \quad (g_i \in A[x]).$$

Let  $r$  be an integer larger than  $m$  and the degrees of  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  and let  $f_1 = f - x^r$ , so that

$$(f_1 + x^r)^m + g_1(f_1 + x^r)^{m-1} + \cdots + g_m = 0$$

or say

$$f_1^m + h_1 f_1^{m-1} + \cdots + h_m = 0,$$

where  $h_m = (x^r)^m + g_1(x^r)^{m-1} + \cdots + g_m \in A[x]$ . Now apply Exercise 8 to the polynomials  $-f_1$  and  $f_1^{m-1} + h_1 f_1^{m-2} + \cdots + h_{m-1}$ .

Since  $x \in C[x]$  and  $x$  is integral over  $C[x]$ ; and since  $C \subset C[x]$  and  $C$  is integral over  $A[x]$  since it is integral over  $A \subset A[x]$ ; and since the set of elements of  $B[x]$  which are integral over  $A[x]$  form a ring; and since every element of  $C[x]$  can be built up from  $x$  and  $C$  by means of ring operations, it follows that every element of  $C[x]$  is integral over  $A[x]$ . Therefore if  $C[x]$  is integrally closed, then it is the integral closure of  $A[x]$  in  $B[x]$ .

To that end, let  $f \in B[x]$  be integral over  $C[x]$ . We will show that  $f \in C[x]$ . Let  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in C[x]$  be such that

$$f^n + g_1 f^{n-1} + \cdots + g_{n-1} f + g_n = 0.$$

Then

$$f^n + g_1 f^{n-1} + \cdots + g_{n-1} f = -g_n \in C[x],$$

so

$$f (f^{n-1} + g_1 f^{n-2} + \cdots + g_{n-1}) \in C[x]$$

and by Exercise 5.8 above, this implies  $f \in C[x]$  as well, completing the proof.

### Exercise 5.28

Let  $A$  be an integral domain,  $K$  its field of fractions. Show that the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $A$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ ;
- (2) If  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  are any two ideals of  $A$ , then either  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$  or  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ .

Deduce that if  $A$  is a valuation ring and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ , then  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  are valuation rings of their fields of fractions.

- (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let  $A$  be a valuation ring of  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  be any two ideals of  $A$ , and suppose  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ , and suppose by way of contradiction that  $\mathfrak{b} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{b} \neq 0$ , so there is some  $0 \neq b \in \mathfrak{b}$ , and there is some  $0 \neq a \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \mathfrak{b}$ . Then since  $A$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ , either  $a/b \in A$  or  $b/a \in A$ . But  $a/b \in A$  implies  $(a/b)b \in \mathfrak{b}$ , i.e.  $a \in \mathfrak{b}$ , which contradicts one of our hypotheses. But if on the other hand  $b/a \in A$ , then  $(b/a)a \in \mathfrak{a}$ , i.e.  $b \in \mathfrak{a}$ . But since this conclusion is independent of our choice of nonzero  $b \in \mathfrak{b}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ , which is a contradiction. We conclude therefore that if  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ , then  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ .
- (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let  $0 \neq a, b \in A$ . By hypothesis, either  $(a) \subseteq (b)$  or  $(b) \subseteq (a)$ , so either  $a = cb$  for some  $c \in A$  or else  $b = da$  for some  $d \in A$ . If the first case holds, then  $c = a/b \in A$ . Otherwise,  $d = b/a \in A$ . Since the choice of  $a, b \neq 0$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $A$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ .

Now suppose  $A$  is a valuation ring and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ . Then condition (2) above holds of  $A$ , and so condition (2) holds of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since containment of ideals is a local property. Likewise, condition (2) holds of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  by the 1-1 order-preserving correspondence between ideals of  $A$  and ideals of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  of Proposition 1.1.

### Exercise 5.30

Let  $A$  be a valuation ring of a field  $K$ . The group  $U$  of units of  $A$  is a subgroup of the multiplicative group  $K^*$  of  $K$ .

Let  $\Gamma = K^*/U$ . If  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma$  are represented by  $x, y \in K$ , define  $\xi \geq \eta$  to mean  $xy^{-1} \in A$ . Show that this defines a total ordering on  $\Gamma$  which is compatible with the group structure (i.e.,  $\xi \geq \eta \Rightarrow \xi\omega \geq \eta\omega$  for all  $\omega \in \Gamma$ ). In other words,  $\Gamma$  is a totally ordered abelian group. It is called the *value group* of  $A$ .

Let  $v : K^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  be the canonical homomorphism. Show that  $v(x + y) \geq \min(v(x), v(y))$  for all  $x, y \in K^*$ .

We show that  $\geq$  is a total order.

- REFLEXIVITY. Suppose  $\xi \in \Gamma$  is represented by  $x \in K$ . Since  $xx^{-1} = 1 \in A$ ,  $\xi \geq \xi$ .
- TRANSITIVITY. Suppose  $\xi, \eta, \omega \in \Gamma$  are represented by  $x, y, w \in K$ , respectively, and that  $\xi \geq \eta$  and  $\eta \geq \omega$ . Then  $xy^{-1} \in A$  and  $yz^{-1} \in A$ , so

$$xy^{-1}yz^{-1} = xz^{-1} \in A.$$

Therefore  $\xi \geq \omega$ .

- ANTISYMMETRY. Suppose  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma$  are represented by  $x, y \in K$ , respectively, and that  $\xi \geq \eta$  and  $\eta \geq \xi$ . Then  $xy^{-1} \in A$  and  $yx^{-1} \in A$ . But  $xy^{-1}$  and  $yx^{-1}$  are inverses, so  $xy^{-1}$  is a unit of  $A$ , hence of  $K$ ; i.e.  $xy^{-1} \in U$ . This implies  $\xi\eta^{-1} = xy^{-1}U = 1 \pmod{U}$ , so  $\xi$  and  $\eta^{-1}$  are inverses, i.e.  $\xi = \eta$ .
- TOTALITY. Suppose  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma$  are represented by  $x, y \in K$ , respectively. Since  $A$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ , either  $xy^{-1} \in A$  or else  $yx^{-1} = (xy^{-1})^{-1} \in A$ , hence either  $\xi \geq \eta$  or  $\eta \geq \xi$ .
- COMPATIBILITY WITH THE GROUP STRUCTURE. Suppose  $\xi, \eta, \omega \in \Gamma$  are represented by  $x, y, w \in K$ , respectively, and that  $\xi \geq \eta$ . Then  $xy^{-1} = x\omega w^{-1}y^{-1} = (x\omega)(yw)^{-1} \in A$ . But this is just to say that  $\xi\omega \geq \eta\omega$ .

Now let  $x, y \in K^*$  and let  $v(x) = \xi$  and  $v(y) = \eta$  and  $v(x + y) = \omega$ . Then we will show that  $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ , i.e. that either  $\omega \geq \xi$  or  $\omega \geq \eta$ . From the definition of  $\geq$ , this means that either  $(x + y)x^{-1} = 1 + yx^{-1} \in A$  or else  $(x + y)y^{-1} = 1 + xy^{-1} \in A$ . But  $A$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ , so either  $xy^{-1} \in A$  or else  $(xy^{-1})^{-1} = yx^{-1} \in A$ , hence either  $1 + xy^{-1} \in A$  or else  $1 + yx^{-1} \in A$ . This completes the proof.

PART 3

**Exercise 5.31, corrected**

Let  $\Gamma$  be a totally ordered abelian group (written additively), and let  $K$  be a field. A *valuation of  $K$  with values in  $\Gamma$*  is a mapping  $v : K^* \rightarrow \Gamma$  such that:

(1)  $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$  and

(2)  $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$

for all  $x, y \in K^*$ . Show that the set  $A = \{0\} \cup \{x \in K^* \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ . This ring is called the *valuation ring of  $v$* , and the subgroup  $v(K^*)$  of  $\Gamma$  is the *value group of  $v$* . Describe the maximal ideal of  $A$ .

First we show that  $A$  is a ring.

- $0 \in A$ , and for any  $y \in K^*$ ,  $v(y) = v(1y) = v(1) + v(y)$ . Thus  $v(1) = 0$ , so  $1 \in A$ .
- Suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Then  $v(a + b) \geq \min\{v(a), v(b)\} \geq 0$ , so  $a + b \in A$ .
- Suppose  $a, b \in A$ . Then  $v(ab) = v(a) + v(b) \geq 0$ , so  $ab \in A$ .
- Suppose  $a \in A$ . Then  $a^2 \in A$ , so

$$0 \leq v(a^2) = v(-a \cdot -a) = v(-a) + v(-a),$$

so  $v(-a) \geq 0$  and  $-a \in A$ .

- $A$  satisfies all the additional properties of a ring since it is a subset of  $K$  satisfying the above properties.

Next, suppose  $x \in K^*$ . We must show that either  $x \in A$  or  $x^{-1} \in A$ . But  $0 = v(1) = v(xx^{-1}) = v(x) + v(x^{-1})$ , so it cannot be the case that both  $v(x) < 0$  and  $v(x^{-1}) < 0$ . Hence one of  $x, x^{-1}$  is in  $A$ .

Finally, the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in K^* \mid v(x) > 0\}$ . It is an ideal by (1) and (2), and it is maximal because it is the set of all non-units of  $A$ . For if  $a \in A$  is a unit then  $0 = v(1) = v(aa^{-1}) = v(a) + v(a^{-1})$ , and since  $v(a), v(a^{-1}) \geq 0$  this implies  $v(a) = v(a^{-1}) = 0$ . And the reasoning here runs in reverse, so conversely  $v(a) = 0$  implies  $a$  is a unit in  $A$ .



#### PART 4

Let  $A$  be the ring of all Gaussian integers with even imaginary parts, i.e., all  $a + 2bi$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  integers,  $i^2 = -1$ . Prove that  $A$  is not integrally closed. What is the integral closure of  $A$ ?

Observe that  $\pm i \notin A$ , since  $\pm i = 0 \pm 1i$  has odd imaginary part. However, the monic polynomial  $x^2 + 1 \in A[x]$  since its coefficients have imaginary part 0, and has roots  $\pm i$ . So  $i$  and  $-i$  are integral over  $A$  but not in  $A$ . Thus  $A$  is not integrally closed.

Since  $i$  and  $-i$  are both integral over  $A$ , every Gaussian integer is integral over  $A$ . Hence the ring  $G$  of all Gaussian integers is contained in the integral closure of  $A$  (in the field of fractions of  $A$ ). But  $G$  is a UFD, hence integrally closed. So  $G$  is the integral closure of  $A$ .