

We had reached the equation:

$$\psi(u) = \psi(0) \left[\int_{[0,u]} f_{L_1}(y) \psi(u-y) dy + \int_{[u,\infty]} f_{L_1}(y) dy \right]$$
 and interpreted it in probability terms.

Substitute $\psi(u-y) = 1 - \phi(u-y)$ and use the fact that $\int_{[0,\infty]} f_{L_1}(y) dy = 1$ (it's a probability density):

$$\psi(u) = \psi(0) \left[1 - \int_{[0,u]} f_{L_1}(y) \phi(u-y) dy \right] = \psi(0) \left[1 - \int_{[0,\infty]} f_{L_1}(y) \phi(u-y) dy \right]$$
 since $\phi(u-y) = 0$ for $y > u$, so

$$\psi(u) = \psi(0) [1 - (f_{L_1} * \phi)(u)]$$
 using the analytic definition of convolution (*).

Now use $1 - \psi(u) = \phi(u)$ and $1 - \psi(0) = \phi(0)$ to get

$$\phi(u) = \phi(0) + \psi(0) (f_{L_1} * \phi)(u)$$
 which you can read as prob surv from $u =$

$$= (\text{prob always stay above } u) + (\text{prob drop below } u) \times \int_{[0,\infty]} (\text{prob drop by } y, u > y > 0) (\text{prob surv from } u-y) dy$$

This is called the *renewal equation* for $\phi(u)$.

A solution for this equation is (plug it in, it works ... perhaps you can see why it was a good guess?):

$$\phi(u) = \phi(0) \sum_{k=0, \infty} [\psi(0)]^k F_{L_1}^{*k}(u)$$
 where $F_{L_1}^{*k}(u)$ is the cum prob dist for $L_1 + \dots + L_1$, k times, where the L_1 's

are independent. Remember from several weeks ago that in general $F_X^{*k}(u) = (f_X * F_X^{*(k-1)})(u)$ for any

random variable X . Seeing the solution for the renewal equation so quickly is the payoff for using the

convolution notation.

$$\sum_{k=0, \infty} [\psi(0)]^k = 1/[1 - \psi(0)] = 1/\phi(0) = (1+\theta)/\theta$$
 (remember $\psi(0) = 1/(1+\theta)$ from the other day so $\phi(0) = \theta/(1+\theta)$).

Thus, the solution for $\phi(u)$ just given is the cumulative probability distribution for a random variable we'll

call L that is a compound Geometric- L_1 distribution, i.e. $L = L_1 + \dots + L_1$, K times, where K has a geometric

distribution, i.e. a negative binomial with $\beta = 1/\theta$, $r = 1$ or $a = 1/(1+\theta)$, $b = 0$.

L is the random variable representing the maximum aggregate loss, the maximum amount by which $u(t)$

ever drops below its starting point $u(0)$ on a random path. K is the number of time $u(t)$ drops below its

prior low point. (Each such drop has probability $\psi(0) = 1/(1+\theta)$ so the total number of such drops is the

geometric K just described.) Each random L_1 is how far below the prior low point the new drop reached.

So the compound variable L is the total of how far below the original starting point all of the drops below

prior lows bring you, the maximum aggregate loss. Obviously, you survive forever from a starting point u

only if $L \leq u$, so it makes sense that $\phi(u) =$ the cumulative probability distribution for L , the compound

Geometric- L_1 as just described.

This formula for $\phi(u)$ gives us a lot of alternatives to learn about probabilities of survival $\phi(u)$ or of ruin

$$\psi(u) = 1 - \phi(u) = S_L(u).$$

- I. We can use Panjer recursion to calculate numerical values for $\phi(u)$ (and $\psi(u)$),
- II. We can use Faa's formula and our knowledge about moments of L_1 from previous class to determine the moments of the maximum aggregate loss variable L .
- III. If the single loss variable X has nice properties we can write down an exact analytic formula for $\psi(u)$, for example if X is an exponential or a gamma random variable.

I. Panjer Recursion

To use Panjer recursion on the formula for $\phi(u)$ we need to discretize the distribution for L_1 . Remember that $f_{L_1}(y) = S_X(y)/\mu_X$ so discretizing L_1 just means to pick up values of $S_X(y)$ at points half-way between your discrete values of y , i.e. $S_X(y+d/2)d/\mu_X$ where d is the discrete interval.

(see [Example of Compound Geometric and Panjer Recursion For Ruin Probabilities](#) on the course website. There is an issue of whether to use the true value of μ_X and treat the approximation just as an approximate $f_{L_1}(y)$, or view the discrete values as coming from an approximation discretizing $f_X(y)$ itself, in which case you have to pick up any change in μ_X (and later μ'_{X2}) that result from the approximation. I prefer the true value approach, which is "alternative #2" on the website example. It means that you need to calculate each $f_{L_1}(y)$ based on the surface interpretation. Subtract successive values of $\sum_{z>y} S_X(z+d/2)d$ from the true value of μ_X to get successive values of the approximation for $S_{L_1}(y)/\mu_X$, and then take differences of the resulting $S_{L_1}(y)$ values to get $f_{L_1}(y)$ values.)

The Panjer recursion formula here will be:

$f_L(u) = [1/(1-af_{L_1}(0))] \sum_{y=1,u} (a+b(y/u))f_{L_1}(y)f_L(u-y)$ where $a=1/(1+\theta)$, $b=0$, $f_{L_1}(y) = S_X(y+d/2)d/\mu_X$ (by whichever of the two methods discussed above that you choose), and the starting value is

$f_L(0) = P_K[f_{L_1}(0)] = [1-(1/\theta)\{f_{L_1}(0)-1\}]^{-1}$. You can just go ahead and program your spreadsheet with this and get values for $f_L(u)$ which will sum to values for $F_L(u) = \phi(u)$ and then $\psi(u) = 1 - \phi(u)$ gives you the ruin probabilities.

Although you don't need it for the spreadsheet calculations, you can do a little algebra on the values just given and get $f_L(u) = [1/\{(1+\theta)\mu_X - S_X(d/2)d\}] \sum_{y=1,u} S_X(y+d/2)d f_L(u-y)$, where once again you need to choose which alternative you'll use to come up with μ_X and $S_X(z+d/2)d$ in the approximation. This version of the formula shows directly how the tail probabilities $S_X(y)$ of the original single loss variable X compound themselves to determine the probability density $f_L(u)$ for the maximum aggregate loss to be u .

II. Moments of the Maximum Aggregate Loss Variable L

Since it is a compound Geometric- L_1 variable, we can write down the moment generating and cumulant generating functions for L:

$$M_L(r) = P_K(M_{L_1}(r)) = [1 - (1/\theta)\{M_{L_1}(r)-1\}]^{(-1)}$$

We can get raw moments of L by using Faa's formula on this, remembering what we already know about the raw moments of L_1 . Namely, $\mu'_{L_1 k} = (1/(k+1)) \mu'_{X(k+1)} / \mu_X$ follows directly from surface interpretation and $f_{L_1}(y) = S_X(y) / \mu_X$.

Taking logarithms, $C_L(r) = -\ln[1 - (1/\theta)\{M_{L_1}(r)-1\}]$ so once again Faa's formula and knowledge of the raw moments of L_1 will let us calculate cumulants of the aggregate loss variable L.

III. Exact Analytic Expressions for $\psi(u)$

$\psi(u) = S_L(u)$ where L is the compound Geometric- L_1 as shown above.

If X happens to be exponential, then by the memory-less property L_1 with $f_{L_1}(y) = S_X(y) / \mu_X$ is also exponential (with same mean). We showed some weeks ago that for a compound distribution L with exponential secondary and primary denoted by K we could rewrite the decumulative distribution as $S_L(x) = e^{-x/\mu} \sum_{j=0, \infty} [(x/\mu)^j / j!] S_K(j)$ where in this case K is geometric with $a = 1/(1+\theta)$ and μ is μ_X , the mean of the exponential. Putting in this value for a, summing the geometric series that appears in $S_K(j)$, and doing some algebra will give $\psi(u) = S_L(u) = (1/(1+\theta)) e^{-(\theta/(1+\theta)) u / \mu}$ so the ruin probability is exactly a constant times an exponential function of starting surplus, with the parameters shown.

A similar, but more complex analysis can be done starting from the result we had that

$$S_S(x) = e^{-x/\mu} \sum_{j=0, \infty} [(x/\mu)^j / j!] S_K([j/\alpha])$$
 for S a compound distribution with gamma secondary.

